

# Derivatives, Legislative and Regulatory Weekly Update (January 26, 2024)

Client Alert | January 26, 2024

---

*From the Derivatives Practice Group: The CFTC cancelled its open meeting this week, but is seeking public comment on a number of issues.*

## New Developments

- **CFTC Cautions the Public to Beware of Artificial Intelligence Scams.** On January 25, the CFTC's Office of Customer Education and Outreach issued a customer advisory warning the public about Artificial Intelligence (AI) scams. Customer Advisory: AI Won't Turn Trading Bots into Money Machines explains how the scams use the potential of AI technology to defraud investors with false claims that entice them to hand over their money or other assets to fraudsters who misappropriate the funds and deceive investors. The advisory warns investors that claims of high or guaranteed returns are red flags of fraud and that strangers promoting these claims online should be ignored. The CFTC stated that the advisory is intended to help investors identify and avoid potential scams and includes a reminder that AI technology cannot predict the future. It also lists four items investors may consider to avoid such scams: researching the background of a company or trader, researching the history of the trading website, getting a second opinion, and knowing the risks associated with the underlying assets. [NEW]
- **CFTC Staff Releases Request for Comment on the Use of Artificial Intelligence in CFTC-Regulated Markets.** On January 25, the CFTC's Divisions of Market Oversight, Clearing and Risk, Market Participants, and Data and the Office of Technology Innovation issued a request for comment (RFC) in an effort to better inform them on the current and potential uses and risks of AI in the derivatives markets that the CFTC regulates. The RFC seeks comment on the definition of AI and its applications, including its use in trading, risk management, compliance, cybersecurity, recordkeeping, data processing and analytics, and customer interactions. The RFC also seeks comment on the risks of AI, including risks related to market manipulation and fraud, governance, explainability, data quality, concentration, bias, privacy and confidentiality and customer protection. The CFTC indicated that staff will consider the responses to the RFC in analyzing possible future actions by the CFTC, such as new or amended guidance, interpretations, policy statements, or regulations. Comments will be accepted until April 24, 2024. [NEW]
- **CFTC Seeks Public Comment on Proposed Capital Comparability Determination for Swap Dealers Subject to Supervision by the UK Prudential Regulation Authority.** On January 24, the CFTC solicited public comment on a substituted compliance application requesting that the CFTC determine that certain CFTC-registered nonbank swap dealers located in the United Kingdom may satisfy certain Commodity Exchange Act capital and financial reporting requirements by being subject to, and complying with, comparable capital and financial reporting requirements under UK laws and regulations. The Institute of International Bankers, the International Swaps and Derivatives Association, and the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association submitted the application. In connection with the application, the CFTC also solicited public comment on a

## Related People

[Jeffrey L. Steiner](#)

[Adam Lapidus](#)

[Marc Aaron Takagaki](#)

[Hayden McGovern](#)

[Karin Thrasher](#)

proposed comparability determination and related order providing for the conditional availability of substituted compliance to CFTC-registered nonbank swap dealers under the UK Prudential Regulation Authority's prudential supervision. The comment period will be open until March 24, 2024. [NEW]

- **BGC Group Announces Approval for FMX Futures Exchange.** On January 22, BGC Group, Inc. (BGC) announced that its FMX Futures Exchange (FMX) received approval from the CFTC to operate an exchange for U.S. Treasury and SOFR futures. BGC will combine their Fenics UST cash Treasury platform and FMX to work across the CME's U.S. interest rate complex. FMX is party to a clearing agreement with LCH SwapClear, a holder of interest rate collateral, which it indicated will allow for portfolio margining across rates of risk and provide for margin efficiencies and effective risk management. [NEW]
- **CFTC Cancels Open Meeting.** On January 20, the CFTC cancelled its open meeting scheduled for January 22. According to the CFTC, The following matters will be resolved through the CFTC's serial process:
  - Notice of Proposed Order and Request for Comment on an Application for a Capital Comparability Determination Submitted on behalf of Nonbank Swap Dealers subject to Capital and Financial Reporting Requirements of the United Kingdom and Regulated by the United Kingdom Prudential Regulation Authority,
  - Proposed Rule: Requirements for Designated Contract Markets and Swap Execution Facilities Regarding Governance and the Mitigation of Conflicts of Interest Impacting Market Regulation Functions. [NEW]
- **CFTC Designates IMX Health, LLC as a Contract Market.** On January 18, the CFTC announced it has issued an Order of Designation to IMX Health, LLC, granting it designation as a contract market (DCM). IMX Health is a limited liability company registered in Delaware and headquartered in Chicago, Illinois. The CFTC issued the order under Section 5a of the Commodity Exchange Act (CEA) and CFTC Regulation 38.3(a). The CFTC determined IMX Health demonstrated its ability to comply with the CEA provisions and CFTC regulations applicable to DCMs. With the addition of IMX Health, there will be [17 DCMs](#).
- **CFTC Issues Staff Letter No. 24-01.** On January 16, the CFTC issued Staff Letter No. 24-01, granting an exemption to LCH SA from the requirements of Regulation 1.49(d) to permit LCH SA to hold customer funds at the Banque du France. Additionally, the CFTC confirmed that it would not recommend enforcement action against LCH SA for failing to obtain, or provide the Commission with, an executed version of the template acknowledgment letter set forth in Appendix B to Regulation 1.20, as required by Regulations 1.20(g)(4) and 22.5, for customer accounts maintained at the Banque de France.
- **SEC Publishes Risk Alert: Observations Related to Security-Based Swap Dealers.** On January 10, the SEC's Division of Examination published a Risk Alert presenting examination and outreach observations concerning compliance with rules applicable to security-based swap dealers. The SEC stated that in sharing these observations, the Division seeks to remind security-based swap dealers of their obligations under relevant security-based swap rules and encourage security-based swap dealers to consider improvements in their compliance programs, as may be appropriate, to further compliance with Exchange Act requirements. The Risk Alert presents observations in the following areas: (1) reporting of security-based swap transactions and correction of reporting errors; (2) business conduct standards; (3) security-based swap trading relationship documentation and portfolio reconciliation; and (4) recordkeeping.
- **CFTC Publishes Decentralized Finance Report.** On January 8, the CFTC's Digital Assets and Blockchain Technology Subcommittee of the Technology Advisory Committee (TAC) released a report entitled "Decentralized Finance." The

report discusses TAC's view that the benefits and risks of DeFi depend significantly on the design and features of specific systems, and that one of its central concerns related to DeFi systems is the lack of, and some industry designs to avoid, clear lines of responsibility and accountability. TAC opined that this feature of DeFi systems may present the clearest ways in which DeFi poses risks to consumers and investors, as well as to financial stability, market integrity and illicit finance—according to TAC, it implicates no clear route to ensuring victim recourse, defense against illicit exploitation, or the ability to insert necessary changes and controls during periods of crisis and network stress. The report finds that government and industry should take timely action to work together, across regulatory and other strategic initiatives, to better understand DeFi.

- **SEC Publishes Risk Alert: Observations Related to Security-Based Swap Dealers.** On January 10, the SEC's Division of Examination published a [Risk Alert](#) presenting examination and outreach observations concerning compliance with rules applicable to security-based swap dealers. The SEC stated that in sharing these observations, the Division seeks to remind security-based swap dealers of their obligations under relevant security-based swap rules and encourage security-based swap dealers to consider improvements in their compliance programs, as may be appropriate, to further compliance with Exchange Act requirements. The Risk Alert presents observations in the following areas: (1) reporting of security-based swap transactions and correction of reporting errors; (2) business conduct standards; (3) security-based swap trading relationship documentation and portfolio reconciliation; and (4) recordkeeping.

## New Developments Outside the U.S.

- **EC Publishes Amendments to Clearing Obligation Scope in Light of Benchmark Reform.** On January 22, the delegated regulation amending the regulatory technical standards (RTS) defining the scope of the clearing obligation (CO) was [published](#) in the EU Official Journal, with the amended requirements due to enter into force 20 days after publication. The EC stated that the amendments were introduced in light of the transition to the TONA and SOFR benchmarks referenced in certain over-the-counter derivatives contracts. The amendment to the scope of the CO consists of introducing TONA overnight indexed swaps (OIS) with maturities up to 30 years and extending the SOFR OIS class subject to the CO to maturities up to 50 years. The adoption follows the publication by the European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA), on February 1, 2023, of its [final report](#) on changes to the scope of the CO and the derivatives trading obligations (DTO) in light of the benchmark transition, following a [consultation](#) last year, to which ISDA [responded](#) on September 30, 2022. This ESMA report included two draft amending RTS: one draft RTS amending the scope of the CO and one draft RTS amending the scope of the DTO. The delegated regulation containing the RTS amending the scope of the CO has now been published. The RTS on the DTO has not yet been adopted. [NEW]
- **RBI Issues Circular on Risk Management and Interbank Dealings.** On January 5, the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) issued a [circular](#) on risk management and interbank dealings. The RBI stated that it has reviewed the foreign exchange risk management facilities based on the feedback received from market participants and experience gained since the revised framework came into force. It has also consolidated the directions in respect of all types of foreign exchange transactions (including cash, tom and spot). The RBI explained that the directions contained in the Currency Futures (Reserve Bank) Directions, 2008 ([Notification No. FED.1/DG\(SG\)-2008 dated August 06, 2008](#)), and Exchange Traded Currency Options (Reserve Bank) Directions, 2010 ([Notification No. FED.01/ED\(HRK\)-2010 dated July 30, 2010](#)), as amended from time to time, are now being incorporated into the [Master Direction – Risk Management and Inter-Bank Dealings](#). These revised directions will come into effect on April 5, 2024, replacing the existing directions in Part A (Section I) of the [Master Direction – Risk Management and](#)

[Inter-Bank Dealings dated July 5, 2016](#), as amended from time to time, superseding the notifications listed in [Annex-II](#).

## New Industry-Led Developments

- **ISDA, FIA Respond to MAS Consultation on Amendments to the Capital Framework for Approved Exchanges and Clearing Houses.** On January 22, ISDA and the FIA jointly [responded](#) to the [consultation](#) from the Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS) on proposed amendments to the capital framework for approved exchanges and approved clearing houses. The scope of the response is limited to the capital framework for approved clearing houses. The associations stated that they welcomed the introduction of a separate liquidity requirement and proposed that MAS consider a more conservative minimum threshold of at least 12 months of operating expenses. They also agreed with the proposed amendments that capital components should only include equity instruments and exclude an approved clearing house's skin-in-the-game. For total risk requirement, the response suggests the alignment of the operational risk component with the liquidity risk requirement and the inclusion of some clarifications on the investment risk and general counterparty risk components. [NEW]
- **ISDA Launches Digital Version of 2002 ISDA Equity Derivatives Definitions.** On January 18, ISDA launched a fully digital edition of the 2002 ISDA Equity Derivatives Definitions on the ISDA MyLibrary platform, enabling new versions to be released more efficiently as products and market practices evolve in the future. Following consultation with buy- and sell-side market participants, ISDA identified support to move the definitions to a digital format, develop new product provisions and streamline certain components over time. Publication of the 2002 ISDA Equity Derivatives Definitions in digital form is a first step and enables further changes to be made in future versions.
- **BCBS-IOSCO Report Sets Out Recommendations for Good Margin Practices in Non-Centrally Cleared Markets.** On January 17, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) and the International Organization of Securities Commissions (IOSCO) published a [report on streamlining VM processes and IM responsiveness of margin models in non-centrally cleared markets](#), which sets out recommendations for market practices intended to enhance market functioning. The report articulates the policy analyses work carried out by the BCBS-IOSCO in two areas discussed in the September 2022 [Review of margining practices](#): (i) exploring the need to streamline variation margin processes in non-centrally cleared markets and (ii) investigating the responsiveness of initial margin models in non-centrally cleared markets. The consultative report sets out eight recommendations intended to encourage the widespread implementation of good market practices but does not propose any policy changes to the BCBS-IOSCO frameworks. BCBS and IOSCO stated that the first four recommendations aim to address challenges that could inhibit a seamless exchange of variation margin during a period of stress. The other four highlight practices for market participants to implement initiatives in an effort to ensure the calculation of initial margin is consistently adequate for contemporaneous market conditions and proposes that supervisors should monitor whether these developments are sufficient to make this model responsive enough to extreme market shocks. [NEW]
- **ISDA Launches Sustainability-linked Derivatives Clause Library.** On January 17, ISDA launched a clause library for sustainability-linked derivatives (SLDs), designed to provide standardized drafting options for market participants to use when negotiating SLD transactions with counterparties. SLDs embed a sustainability-linked cashflow in a derivatives structure and use key performance indicators (KPIs) to monitor compliance with environmental, social and governance (ESG) targets, incentivizing parties to meet their sustainability objectives.
- **BCBS, CPMI, and IOSCO Publish Consultative Report on Transparency and**

**Responsiveness of Initial Margin in Centrally Cleared Markets.** On January 16, BCBS, the Bank for International Settlements' Committee on Payments and Market Infrastructures (CPMI) and IOSCO jointly published a consultative report—[Transparency and responsiveness of initial margin in centrally cleared markets](#)—review and policy proposals—which interested parties are invited to comment on. BCBS, CPMI, and IOSCO stated that the ten policy proposals in the report aim to increase the resilience of the centrally cleared ecosystem by improving participants' understanding of central counterparties (CCPs) initial margin calculations and potential future margin requirements. The proposals cover CCP simulation tools, CCP disclosures, measurement of initial margin responsiveness, governance frameworks and margin model overrides, and clearing member transparency.

- **ISDA and SIFMA Response to US Basel III NPR.** On January 16, ISDA and the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association (SIFMA) submitted a joint response on the US Basel III 'endgame' notice of proposed rulemaking (NPR). The response focuses on the Fundamental Review of the Trading Book (FRTB), the revised credit valuation adjustment (CVA) framework, the securities financing transactions requirements and elements of the standardized approach to counterparty credit risk rules. In the response, the associations propose a number of calibration changes to ensure the rules are appropriate and risk sensitive and avoid adverse consequences to US capital markets.
- **ISDA and SIFMA Response to G-SIB Surcharge Framework Consultation.** On January 16, ISDA and the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association (SIFMA) submitted a response to a consultation by the US Federal Reserve on proposed changes to the G-SIB surcharge. The response raises concerns that the revised G-SIB surcharge would lead to inappropriately high capital requirements for banks offering client clearing services, potentially discouraging them from participating in this business and contravening a long-standing policy objective to promote central clearing. Specifically, the response argues that client derivatives transactions cleared under the agency model should not be included in the complexity and interconnectedness categories of the G-SIB surcharge calculation.

---

The following Gibson Dunn attorneys assisted in preparing this update: Jeffrey Steiner, Adam Lapidus, Marc Aaron Takagaki, Hayden McGovern, and Karin Thrasher. Gibson Dunn's lawyers are available to assist in addressing any questions you may have regarding these developments. Please contact the Gibson Dunn lawyer with whom you usually work, any member of the firm's Derivatives practice group, or the following practice leaders and authors: Jeffrey L. Steiner, Washington, D.C. (202.887.3632, [jsteiner@gibsondunn.com](mailto:jsteiner@gibsondunn.com)) Michael D. Bopp, Washington, D.C. (202.955.8256, [mbopp@gibsondunn.com](mailto:mbopp@gibsondunn.com)) Michelle M. Kirschner, London (+44 (0)20 7071.4212, [mkirschner@gibsondunn.com](mailto:mkirschner@gibsondunn.com)) Darius Mehraban, New York (212.351.2428, [dmehraban@gibsondunn.com](mailto:dmehraban@gibsondunn.com)) Jason J. Cabral, New York (212.351.6267, [jcabral@gibsondunn.com](mailto:jcabral@gibsondunn.com)) Adam Lapidus – New York (+1 212.351.3869, [alapidus@gibsondunn.com](mailto:alapidus@gibsondunn.com)) Stephanie L. Brooker, Washington, D.C. (202.887.3502, [sbrooker@gibsondunn.com](mailto:sbrooker@gibsondunn.com)) Roscoe Jones Jr., Washington, D.C. (202.887.3530, [rjones@gibsondunn.com](mailto:rjones@gibsondunn.com)) William R. Hallatt, Hong Kong (+852 2214 3836, [whallatt@gibsondunn.com](mailto:whallatt@gibsondunn.com)) David P. Burns, Washington, D.C. (202.887.3786, [dburns@gibsondunn.com](mailto:dburns@gibsondunn.com)) Marc Aaron Takagaki, New York (212.351.4028, [mtakagaki@gibsondunn.com](mailto:mtakagaki@gibsondunn.com)) Hayden K. McGovern, Dallas (214.698.3142, [hmcgovern@gibsondunn.com](mailto:hmcgovern@gibsondunn.com)) Karin Thrasher, Washington, D.C. (202.887.3712, [kthrasher@gibsondunn.com](mailto:kthrasher@gibsondunn.com)) © 2024 Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP. All rights reserved. For contact and other information, please visit us at [www.gibsondunn.com](http://www.gibsondunn.com). Attorney Advertising: These materials were prepared for general informational purposes only based on information available at the time of publication and are not intended as, do not constitute, and should not be relied upon as, legal advice or a legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. Gibson Dunn (and its affiliates, attorneys, and employees) shall not have any liability in connection with any use of these materials. The sharing of these materials does not establish an attorney-client relationship with the recipient and should

# GIBSON DUNN

not be relied upon as an alternative for advice from qualified counsel. Please note that facts and circumstances may vary, and prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.

## **Related Capabilities**

[Derivatives](#)

[Energy and Infrastructure](#)

[Power and Renewables](#)

[Oil and Gas](#)