

Webcast: Discussion of FTC's Changes to Hart-Scott-Rodino (HSR) Rules

Webcasts | October 16, 2024

Partners from Gibson Dunn's antitrust group discuss recent changes to the Hart-Scott-Rodino rules, including a review of key changes, their potential impact on HSR filing preparation and transaction timelines, as well as preliminary thoughts on new best practices to address these developments.

Related People

[Joshua Lipton](#)

[Sophia A. Hansell](#)

[Michael J. Perry](#)

[Jamie E. France](#)

PANELISTS: **Joshua Lipton** is a partner in the Washington, D.C. office of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher. He maintains a broad-based antitrust and consumer protection practice, including litigation in state and federal courts, merger and acquisition investigations, civil and criminal antitrust and consumer protection investigations by government authorities, and antitrust counseling. **Admissions:** District of Columbia Bar **Sophia (Vandergrift)**

Hansell is a partner in the Washington, D.C. office of Gibson, Dunn and Crutcher. She is a member of the Antitrust and Competition Practice Group. Before joining the firm, Ms. Hansell served as an attorney in the Mergers IV Division of the Federal Trade Commission's Bureau of Competition, where she focused on merger review and enforcement litigation. At the FTC, Ms. Hansell was a core member of trial teams that blocked proposed mergers for Sysco and US Foods, Advocate Health Care and NorthShore University HealthSystem, and Wilhelmsen and Drew Marine. Previously, Ms. Hansell served in the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia as a Special Assistant United States Attorney in the General Crimes Division. Leveraging her experience in government enforcement, Ms. Hansell's practice focuses on complex antitrust litigation and investigations before the Department of Justice, Federal Trade Commission, and state attorneys general. She also has experience counseling companies on a broad range of competition issues relating to M&A transactions, including pre-deal risk assessments, transaction negotiations, and gun jumping issues. Ms. Hansell also develops and executes strategies to secure merger clearance with U.S. and foreign competition authorities. **Admissions:** District of Columbia Bar, Virginia Bar **Michael Perry** is a partner in the Washington, D.C. office of Gibson Dunn & Crutcher and a member of the firm's Antitrust and Competition Practice Group. Michael represents clients in merger and non-merger related investigations before the U.S. Federal Trade Commission and the U.S. Department of Justice, and complex private and government antitrust litigation. His practice spans a variety of industries, including healthcare and life sciences, energy, and technology, and he is experienced in issues at the intersection of antitrust and intellectual property law. Michael previously served as Counsel to the Director of the Federal Trade Commission's Bureau of Competition from 2015 to 2016 and as an attorney in the agency's healthcare division. During his tenure at the FTC, Michael played an integral role in many of the agency's most significant antitrust enforcement actions, including *FTC v. Actavis*, *FTC v. Cephalon*, *FTC v. Sysco*, and *FTC v. St. Luke's Health System*.

Admissions: District of Columbia Bar, California Bar **Jamie France** is a partner in the Washington, D.C. office of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher and a member of the firm's Antitrust and Competition Practice Group. She represents clients in antitrust merger and non-merger investigations before the U.S. Federal Trade Commission, U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division, state Attorneys General, and international competition authorities, as well as in complex private and government antitrust litigation. She also counsels clients on a range of antitrust merger and conduct matters. Jamie joined the firm after six years as an attorney in the Mergers IV Division of the Federal Trade Commission's Bureau of Competition, where she served in lead roles on high-profile merger investigations and enforcement actions. Jamie has significant experience litigating

GIBSON DUNN

merger challenges and was an integral member of the FTC's trial teams on *FTC v. Thomas Jefferson University*, *FTC v. Hackensack Meridian Health*, *FTC v. Sanford Health*, *FTC v. Advocate Health Care Network*, and *FTC v. Benco Dental Supply*. She was twice honored with the FTC's Janet D. Steiger Award for her contributions to the Sanford and Advocate litigations. **Admissions:** District of Columbia Bar, New York Bar

MCLE CREDIT INFORMATION:

This program has been approved for credit in accordance with the requirements of the New York State Continuing Legal Education Board for a maximum of 1.0 credit hour, of which 1.0 credit hour may be applied toward the areas of professional practice requirement. This course is approved for transitional/non-transitional credit.

Attorneys seeking New York credit must obtain an Affirmation Form prior to watching the archived version of this webcast. Please contact CLE@gibsondunn.com to request the MCLE form.

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP certifies that this activity has been approved for MCLE credit by the State Bar of California in the amount of 1.0 hour in the General Category.

California attorneys may claim "self-study" credit for viewing the archived version of this webcast. No certificate of attendance is required for California "self-study" credit.

© 2024 Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP. All rights reserved. For contact and other information, please visit us at www.gibsondunn.com. Attorney Advertising: These materials were prepared for general informational purposes only based on information available at the time of publication and are not intended as, do not constitute, and should not be relied upon as, legal advice or a legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. Gibson Dunn (and its affiliates, attorneys, and employees) shall not have any liability in connection with any use of these materials. The sharing of these materials does not establish an attorney-client relationship with the recipient and should not be relied upon as an alternative for advice from qualified counsel. Please note that facts and circumstances may vary, and prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.

Related Capabilities

[Antitrust and Competition](#)