USPTO REQUESTS PUBLIC COMMENTS ON PATENTING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE INVENTIONS

To Our Clients and Friends:


The Patent Office hopes that with the input it receives in response to this request for comments from the innovation community and experts in AI, the Patent Office will be in a strong position to evaluate whether further patent examination guidance is needed to (1) “promote the reliability and predictability of patenting artificial intelligence inventions,” and (2) “ensure that appropriate patent protection incentives are in place to encourage further innovation.” Id.

The office’s request poses twelve questions covering “a variety of topics from patent examination policy to whether new forms of intellectual property protection are needed.” Id. For example, the questions cover topics such as:

1. Inventorship – Should current inventorship laws and regulations be revised to account for entities other than a natural person contributing to the conception of an invention? What are the different ways in which a natural person can contribute to the conception of an AI invention?

2. Ownership – Who owns an AI invention? Is it the company who trains the AI process that creates the invention? Should entities other than a natural person or the company to which it is assigned be able to own an AI invention?

3. And Patent Application Requirements – Does AI impact the level of a person of ordinary skill in the art? Are there disclosure-related considerations unique to AI inventions, such as written description? Are new forms of intellectual property protections needed for AI inventions?

This is an important opportunity for individuals and companies active in AI technologies to provide their perspective on how U.S. intellectual property law and patent office procedures should address some of the unique issues raised by AI inventions. Written comments may be sent by email to AIPartnership@uspto.gov, and must be received on or before October 11, 2019.
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