



U.S. Department of Justice

*United States Attorney
Southern District of New York*

*The Silvio J. Mollo Building
One Saint Andrew's Plaza
New York, New York 10007*

June 6, 2012

Ellis L. Reemer, Esq.
Diana Erbsen, Esq.
DLA Piper LLP
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020-1104

Re: BDO USA, LLP – Deferred Prosecution Agreement

Dear Mr. Reemer and Ms. Erbsen:

Pursuant to our discussions and written exchanges, the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York (the "Office") and the defendant BDO USA, LLP ("BDO"), pursuant to authority granted by its Board of Directors in the form of a Board of Directors Resolution (a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A), hereby enter into this Deferred Prosecution Agreement (the "Agreement").¹

The Criminal Information

1. BDO will consent to the filing of a one-count Information (the "Information") in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court") charging BDO with participating in a conspiracy and scheme in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 to (i) defraud the United States and its agency, the Internal Revenue Service (hereinafter "IRS"); (ii) commit tax evasion, and assist clients in committing tax evasion, in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7201; and (iii) make and subscribe false and fraudulent tax returns, and aid and assist in the preparation and filing of said tax returns, in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7206. A copy of the Information is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

¹ The term "BDO" includes BDO Seidman LLP.

Acceptance of Responsibility for Violation of Law

2. BDO admits and accepts that, as set forth in detail in the Statement of Facts, attached hereto as Exhibit C, through the conduct of certain BDO partners and employees, during the period from 1997 through 2003, BDO assisted high net worth United States citizens in evading approximately \$1.3 billion in United States individual income taxes on over \$6.5 billion in capital gain and ordinary income by participating in and implementing fraudulent tax shelter transactions, including those sometimes referred to as the Short Option Strategy (“SOS”) and Short Sale, as well as certain other tax shelter transactions. BDO personnel engaged in conduct that was unlawful and fraudulent, including: (i) agreeing to participate in fraudulent tax shelter transactions; (ii) preparing and signing false and fraudulent factual recitations, representations, and documents as part of the documentation underlying the shelters, and (iii) preparing, signing and filing or aiding and assisting in the preparation and filing of false income tax returns.

3. BDO agrees that it will pay a total of \$50,000,000 to the United States as part of this Agreement, which amount is based upon BDO’s financial representations and documentation provided in support thereof, and which payments comprise the following: \$15,568,273, which will be forfeited to the United States pursuant to a civil forfeiture complaint filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York; and a penalty of \$34,431,727 (plus interest) to settle the IRS’s civil promoter penalty examination of BDO pursuant to the terms and conditions of the closing agreement described below (the “Closing Agreement”). That Closing Agreement provides that BDO’s promoter penalty principal payments shall be completed on April 1, 2018. BDO agrees that it will satisfy its obligation to forfeit the \$15,568,273 by making seven annual payments of \$2,000,000 starting on May 1, 2018, and an eighth, final payment of \$1,568,273 on May 1, 2025. BDO agrees that, if it completes its payment obligations under the Closing Agreement prior to April 1, 2018, BDO will begin its forfeiture payment schedule on the first day of the month following the final payment under the Closing Agreement, or April 1, 2018, whichever is earlier. BDO agrees that it will not file a claim with the court or otherwise contest this civil forfeiture action and will not assist a third party in asserting any claim. It is further understood that BDO will not file or assist anyone in filing a petition for remission or mitigation with the Department of Justice or Treasury concerning this property.

4. BDO agrees that no portion of the \$50,000,000 plus the interest on the promoter penalty that BDO has agreed to pay to the United States under the terms of this Agreement is deductible on any Federal or State tax or information return.

Permanent Restrictions and Controls on BDO's Federal Income Tax Practice

5. The Office recognizes that BDO had previously implemented certain restrictions on its business and changes in its accounting practices in order to improve its compliance with the tax laws. Pursuant to this Agreement, BDO agrees to implement, throughout its U.S. operations and with respect to any BDO operations affecting United States income taxes, the following permanent restrictions: (i) BDO will not develop or assist in developing, market or assist in marketing, sell or assist in selling, or implement or assist in implementing, any "listed transaction" as defined to include any transaction described in 26 C.F.R. § 1.6011-4(b)(2), or any "transaction of interest" as defined in 26 C.F.R. § 1.6011-4(b)(6); (ii) BDO will not participate in marketing, implementing, or issuing any "covered opinion" as defined in 31 C.F.R. § 10.35(b)(2) with respect to any "listed transaction" as defined in 31 C.F.R. § 10.35(b)(2)(A); (iii) BDO will not defend on the merits any transaction that is or becomes a "listed transaction" prior to the date that BDO is engaged to defend the transaction; (iv) BDO will not provide any tax services under any conditions of confidentiality (as defined in 26 C.F.R. § 1.6011-4(b)(3)); (v) BDO will not charge or accept fees subject to contractual protection (as defined in 26 C.F.R. § 1.6011-4(b)(4)) other than as permitted by 31 C.F.R. § 10.27(b); and (vi) BDO will comply with the ethics and independence rules concerning independence, tax services, and contingent fees as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board on July 26, 2005, or as thereafter amended, as of the effective date of those rules. In addition, the United States reserves the right to request of BDO, until the date of the last payment under this Agreement, information regarding BDO's tax practices sufficient to demonstrate compliance with this paragraph. If such a request is made, BDO shall promptly provide all requested information, including documents.

Cooperation

6. BDO acknowledges and understands that the cooperation it has provided to date with the criminal investigation by the Office, and its pledge of continuing cooperation, are important and material factors underlying the Office's decision to enter into this Agreement, and, therefore, BDO agrees to cooperate fully and actively with the Office, the IRS, and with any other agency of the government designated by the Office ("Designated Agencies") regarding any matter relating to the Office's investigation about which BDO has knowledge or information.

7. Consistent with its obligations under law, BDO agrees that its continuing cooperation with the Office's investigation shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

(a) Completely and truthfully disclosing all information in its possession to the Office and the IRS about which the Office and the IRS may inquire, including but not limited to all information about activities of BDO and present and former employees and agents of BDO;

(b) Volunteering and providing to the Office any information and documents that come to BDO's attention that may be relevant to the Office's investigation;

(c) Assembling, organizing, and providing, in responsive and prompt fashion, and, upon request, expedited fashion, all documents, records, information, and other evidence in BDO's possession, custody, or control as may be requested by the Office or the IRS;

(d) Using its reasonable best efforts to make available its present and former employees to provide information and/or testimony as requested by the Office and the IRS, including sworn testimony before a grand jury or in court proceedings, as well as interviews with law enforcement authorities, and to identify witnesses who, to BDO's knowledge and information, may have material information concerning the Office's investigation, including but not limited to the conduct set forth in the Information and the Statement of Facts;

(e) Providing testimony or information necessary to identify or establish the original location, authenticity, or other basis for admission into evidence of documents or physical evidence in any criminal or other proceeding as requested by the Office or the IRS, including information and testimony concerning the Office's investigation, including but not limited to the conduct set forth in the Information and the Statement of Facts; and

(f) Other than those specific materials designated as "Exempt from FOIA – Confidential Treatment Requested" and with respect to material provided pursuant to Federal Rules of Evidence 408 and 410 in connection with settlement discussions, with respect to any information, testimony, documents, records, or physical evidence provided by BDO to the Office or a grand jury, BDO consents to any and all disclosures of such materials to the IRS and such Designated Agencies as the Office, in its sole discretion, deems appropriate. With respect to any such materials that constitute "matters occurring before the grand jury" within the meaning of Rule 6(e) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, BDO further consents to: (i) any order sought by the Office permitting such disclosures; and (ii) the Office's ex parte or in camera application for such orders.

8. BDO agrees that its obligations to cooperate will continue even after the dismissal of the Information, and BDO will continue to fulfill the cooperation obligations set forth in this Agreement in connection with any investigation, criminal prosecution or

civil proceeding brought by the Office or by or against the IRS or the United States relating to or arising out of the conduct set forth in the Information and the Statement of Facts and relating in any way to the Office's investigation. BDO's obligation to cooperate is not intended to apply in the event that a prosecution against BDO by this Office is pursued and not deferred.

Deferral of Prosecution

9. In consideration of BDO's entry into this Agreement and its commitment to: (a) accept and acknowledge responsibility for its conduct; (b) cooperate with the Office and the IRS; (c) make the payments specified in this Agreement; (d) comply with Federal criminal laws, including Federal tax laws; and (e) otherwise comply with all of the terms of this Agreement, the Office shall recommend to the Court that prosecution of BDO on the Information be deferred for the period of six months from the date of the signing of this Agreement. BDO shall expressly waive indictment and all rights to a speedy trial pursuant to the Sixth Amendment of the United States Constitution, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161, Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 48(b), and any applicable Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for the period during which this Agreement is in effect.

10. The Office agrees that, if BDO is in compliance with all of its obligations under this Agreement, the Office will, at the expiration of the period of deferral (including any extensions thereof), seek dismissal without prejudice as to BDO of the Information filed against BDO pursuant to paragraphs 1 and 9 of this Agreement. Except in the event of a violation by BDO of any term of this Agreement, the Office will bring no additional charges against BDO relating to its participation in (i) the conduct alleged in the Information; (ii) an unlisted transaction referred to as OID bond; a transaction that is the same as or substantially similar to the transactions described in Notice 2000-44, 2000-36, I.R.B. September 5, 2000 (sometimes known variously as the SOS, Short Sale, Spread Options, Currency Option Investment Strategy or "COINS," Digital Options, G-1 Global Fund, FC Derivatives, or "Son of Boss" transactions); a transaction that is the same as or substantially similar to the transaction described in an IRS Coordinated Issue Paper effective April 18, 2007 (sometimes known as the Distressed Asset Debt transaction); a transaction that is the same as or substantially similar to the transaction described in Notice 2002-50, 2002-28 I.R.B. 98, July 15, 2002 (sometimes known as the Partnership Option Portfolio Securities or "POPS" transaction); a transaction that is the same as or substantially similar to the transaction described in Notice 2001-45, 2001-33 I.R.B. 129, December 31, 2003 (sometimes known as the Offshore Portfolio Investment Strategy or "OPIS" transaction); a transaction that is the same or substantially similar to the transaction described in Notice 2004-8, 2004-4 I.R.B. 333, December 31, 2003 (sometimes known as the Roth IRA transaction) (collectively the "Transactions"), comprising those transactions upon which penalties have been imposed

pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 6707; and (iii) the submission of false information, statements, and/or testimony to the IRS with respect to the Transactions and/or in related federal court litigation. This Agreement does not provide any protection against prosecution for any crimes except as set forth above and does not apply to any individual or entity other than BDO. Specifically, this Agreement provides protection only with respect to the Transactions and the conduct enumerated herein. BDO and the Office understand that the Agreement to defer prosecution of BDO must be approved by the Court, in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(2). Should the Court decline to approve the Agreement to defer prosecution for any reason, both the Office and BDO are released from any obligation imposed upon them by this Agreement, and this Agreement shall be null and void, and the Government will move to dismiss the Information without prejudice, should it have already been filed.

11. It is further understood that should the Office in its sole discretion determine that BDO has, after the date of the execution of this Agreement: (a) given false, incomplete or misleading information, (b) committed any crime, or (c) otherwise violated any provision of this Agreement, BDO shall, in this Office's sole discretion, thereafter be subject to prosecution for any federal criminal violation of which the Office has knowledge, including but not limited to a prosecution based on the Information or the conduct described therein. Any such prosecution may be premised on any information provided by or on behalf of BDO to the Office or the IRS at any time. Any such prosecutions that are not time-barred by the applicable statute of limitations on the date of this Agreement may be commenced against BDO within the applicable period governing the statute of limitations. In addition, BDO agrees to toll, and exclude from any calculation of time, the running of the criminal statute of limitations for a period of five years from the date of the execution of this Agreement. By this Agreement, BDO expressly intends to and hereby does waive its rights in the foregoing respects, including any right to make a claim premised on the statute of limitations, as well as any constitutional, statutory, or other claim concerning pre-indictment delay. Such waivers are knowing, voluntary, and in express reliance on the advice of BDO's counsel.

12. It is further agreed that in the event that the Office, in its sole discretion, determines that BDO has violated any provision of this Agreement, including BDO's failure to meet its obligations under this Agreement: (a) all statements made by or on behalf of BDO to the Office and the IRS, including but not limited to the Statement of Facts, or any testimony given by BDO or by any employee or agent of BDO before a grand jury, or elsewhere, whether before or after the date of this Agreement, or any leads from such statements or testimony, shall be admissible in evidence in any and all criminal proceedings hereinafter brought by the Office against BDO; and (b) BDO shall not assert any claim under the United States Constitution, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, or any other federal rule, that statements made by or on behalf of BDO before or after the date of this Agreement, or any leads derived

therefrom, should be suppressed or otherwise excluded from evidence. It is the intent of this Agreement to waive any and all rights in the foregoing respects.

13. BDO agrees that, in the event that the Office determines during the period of deferral of prosecution described in paragraph 9 above (or any extensions thereof) that BDO has violated any provision of this Agreement, a one-year extension of the period of deferral of prosecution may be imposed in the sole discretion of the Office, and, in the event of additional violations, such additional one-year extensions as appropriate, but in no event shall the total term of the deferral-of-prosecution period of this Agreement exceed five years.

14. BDO agrees that it shall not, through its attorneys, agents, or employees, make any statement, in litigation or otherwise, contradicting the Statement of Facts or its representations in this Agreement. Consistent with this provision, BDO may raise defenses and/or assert affirmative claims in any civil proceedings brought by private parties as long as doing so does not contradict the Statement of Facts or such representations. Any such contradictory statement by BDO, its present or future attorneys, agents, or employees shall constitute a breach of this Agreement and BDO thereafter shall be subject to prosecution as specified in paragraphs 9 through 12, above, or the deferral-of-prosecution period shall be extended pursuant to paragraph 13, above. The decision as to whether any such contradictory statement will be imputed to BDO for the purpose of determining whether BDO has breached this Agreement shall be at the sole discretion of the Office. Upon the Office's notifying BDO of any such contradictory statement, BDO may avoid a finding of breach of this Agreement by repudiating such statement both to the recipient of such statement and to the Office within 48 hours after receipt of notice by the Office. BDO consents to the public release by the Office, in its sole discretion, of any such repudiation.

The Compliance & Ethics Program

15. In addition to the remedial actions that BDO has taken to date, BDO shall implement and maintain, throughout its U.S. operations and with respect to any BDO operations affecting United States income taxes, an effective compliance and ethics program that fully comports with the criteria set forth in Section 8B2.1 of the United States Sentencing Guidelines (the "Compliance & Ethics Program"). As part of the Compliance & Ethics Program, BDO shall maintain a permanent compliance office and a permanent educational and training program relating to the laws and ethics governing the work of BDO's employees. BDO agrees that all BDO professionals and any employees of BDO shall receive appropriate training pursuant to the Compliance & Ethics Program within one year of the execution of this Agreement, and shall be given such training on a regular basis. Also as part of the Compliance & Ethics Program, BDO shall (a) ensure that an effective program be maintained to punish violators of laws, policies, and standards, and reward those who report such violators; and (b) ensure that no employee, agent, or consultant of BDO is

penalized in any way for providing information relating to BDO's compliance or noncompliance with laws, policies, and standards to any BDO official, government agency, or compliance officer. BDO shall take steps to audit the Compliance & Ethics Program to ensure it is carrying out the duties and responsibilities set out in this Agreement.

Closing Agreement with the IRS

16. Contemporaneously with the execution of this Agreement, BDO and the IRS will enter into a closing agreement pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7121 providing for resolution of the examinations of BDO under 26 U.S.C. §§ 6707 and 6708, relating to the Transactions, and pursuant to which BDO will pay the \$34,431,727 civil penalty described in paragraph 3 above, plus interest in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Closing Agreement. BDO's failure to satisfy its payment obligations under the Closing Agreement and pursuant to paragraph 3 above shall constitute a violation of this Agreement. BDO's failure to satisfy any other provision of the Closing Agreement may be determined by the Office, after giving BDO reasonable notice and an opportunity to cure its failure, to constitute a violation of this Agreement.

The Office's Discretion

17. BDO agrees that it is within the Office's sole discretion to choose, in the event of a violation, the remedies contained in paragraphs 3, 11, and 12 above, or instead to choose to extend the period of deferral of prosecution pursuant to paragraph 13. BDO understands and agrees that the exercise of the Office's discretion under this Agreement is unreviewable by any court. Should the Office determine that BDO has violated this Agreement, the Office shall provide notice to BDO of that determination and provide BDO with an opportunity to make a presentation to the Office to demonstrate that no violation occurred, or, to the extent applicable, that the violation should not result in the exercise of those remedies or in an extension of the period of deferral of prosecution.

Limits Of This Agreement

18. It is understood that this Agreement is binding on the Office and the Department of Justice but specifically does not bind any other Federal agencies, any state or local law enforcement agencies, any licensing authorities, or any regulatory authorities. However, if requested by BDO or its attorneys, the Office will bring to the attention of any such agencies, including but not limited to any regulators, the Agreement, the cooperation of BDO, and its compliance with its obligations under this Agreement.

Public Filing

19. BDO and the Office agree that, upon filing of the Information in accordance with paragraph 1 and 9 hereof, this Agreement (including the Statement of Facts and the other attachments hereto) shall be filed publicly in the proceedings in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

Integration Clause

20. This Agreement sets forth all the terms of the Deferred Prosecution Agreement between BDO and the Office. No modifications or additions to this Agreement shall be valid unless they are in writing and signed by the Office, BDO's attorneys, and a duly authorized representative of BDO.

PREET BHARARA
United States Attorney
Southern District of New York

By: Nanette L. Davis; Stanley J. Okula, Jr.
Stanley J. Okula, Jr.
Nanette L. Davis
Jason P. Hernandez
Assistant United States Attorneys

Lorin L. Reisner
Lorin L. Reisner
Chief, Criminal Division

Accepted and agreed to:

Ellis L. Reemer / Diana Erbsen
DLA Piper LLP
Ellis L. Reemer, Esq.
Diana Erbsen, Esq.

Jack Weisbaum
BDO USA, LLP, by Jack Weisbaum

Exhibit A

RESOLUTION OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF BDO USA, LLP

Upon motion duly made, seconded and unanimously carried by the affirmative vote of all the Directors present, the following resolutions were adopted at a meeting held on February 1, 2012:

WHEREAS, BDO USA, LLP ("BDO") has been engaged in discussions with the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York (the "U.S. Attorney's Office") in connection with an investigation being conducted by the U.S. Attorney's Office into activities of certain tax partners and employees of BDO relating to the development, promotion and implementation of tax shelters during the period from 1997 through 2003;

WHEREAS, BDO has been engaged in discussions with the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") in connection with an examination of the BDO's liability for penalties for the failure to register tax shelters and related matters;

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors of BDO has determined that it is in the best interests of BDO to enter into the Deferred Prosecution Agreement (the "DPA"), which resolves the U.S. Attorney's Office grand jury investigation of BDO, and which the Board of Directors has reviewed with legal counsel representing BDO;

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors of BDO has determined that it is in the best interests of BDO to enter into the Closing Agreement On Final Determination Covering Specific Matters (the "Closing Agreement"), which resolves the IRS' examination of BDO under I.R.C. §§ 6707 and 6708, and which the Board of Directors has reviewed with legal counsel representing BDO;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Directors of BDO consents to the resolution of the discussions with the U.S. Attorney's Office and the IRS by entering into the DPA and the Closing Agreement in substantially the same form as reviewed by the Board of Directors on February 1, 2012; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Board of Directors of BDO authorizes management and outside counsel representing BDO from DLA Piper US LLP to execute the DPA and the Closing Agreement on behalf of BDO and to take any and all other actions as may be necessary or appropriate, and to approve the forms, terms, or provisions of any agreements or other documents as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out and effectuate the purpose and intent of the foregoing.

Acting Secretary



Barbara A. Taylor
General Counsel

Exhibit B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :

-v- :

INFORMATION

BDO USA, LLP, :

S4 09 Cr. 581 (WHP)

Defendant. :

----- X

COUNT ONE
(Conspiracy)

The United States Attorney charges:

I. PERTINENT INDIVIDUALS AND ENTITIES

A. BDO and Its Co-Conspirators

1. At all times relevant to this Information, BDO Seidman LLP, now known as BDO USA, LLP (“BDO”), was a major international accounting firm, which maintained its headquarters in Chicago, Illinois, and offices in various other United States cities, including New York, New York. BDO provided audit services to many corporate clients, and provided tax services to corporate and individual clients, including some of the wealthiest individuals in the United States, some of whom are unindicted co-conspirators not named as defendants herein. Those tax services included preparing tax returns, providing tax advice, and representing clients in audits by the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) and litigation with the IRS in Tax Court.

2. At all times relevant to this Information, Denis Field, a co-conspirator not named as a defendant herein, was a lawyer with a Master of Laws degree in Taxation and a certified public accountant (“CPA”). Field became the head of National Tax at BDO in 1996, and the

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of BDO in 1999, a position he held until October 2003.

3. In or about early 1998, co-conspirator Denis Field, while BDO's National Tax leader, formed a group devoted to designing, marketing, and implementing high-fee tax strategies for individual clients, often with law firms, investment firms, and financial institutions. The group became known as the "Tax Solutions Group" or "TSG" (collectively hereinafter "TSG") in or about October 1999. The strategies marketed by the TSG included tax shelters that could be used by wealthy clients to eliminate or reduce taxes on significant income or gains. The tax shelters generally generated non-economic tax benefits — primarily losses or gain eliminations — that far outweighed the costs to enter into the tax shelters. BDO touted the tax shelters internally as "value-added products" whereby fees far in excess of the normal BDO hourly billing rates would be charged.

4. From 1984 through in or about October 2004, Charles W. Bee, Jr., a co-conspirator not named as a defendant herein, was a CPA and a partner in BDO's international tax group in its New York office. Bee also served as BDO's National Director for International Taxation. From in or about July 1999 through October 2003, Bee was a member of BDO's Board of Directors. From in or about June 2000 through October 2003, Bee served as a Vice-Chairman of BDO.

5. From in or about July 1995 through in or about October 2000, Adrian Dicker, a co-conspirator not named as a defendant herein, was a United Kingdom chartered accountant and a partner in BDO's international tax group in its New York office. From in or about early 1999 through October 2000, Dicker was a member of BDO's Board of Directors, and thereafter through in or about October 2003, Dicker served on the Board of Directors as a retired partner director.

From in or about June 2000 through October 2000, Dicker served as a Vice-Chairman of BDO.

6. From in or about 1998 through October 2003, co-conspirators Denis Field, Charles Bee, and Adrian Dicker were the leaders of the TSG. They were also members of BDO's Tax Opinion Committee, which analyzed tax shelter products for their potential use by BDO's clients, and reviewed templates of opinion letters to be utilized in connection with some of those tax shelters.

7. At all times relevant to this Information, Robert Greisman, a co-conspirator not named as a defendant herein, was a lawyer and CPA, and a partner in BDO's Chicago office. Greisman was a member of BDO's TSG and assumed TSG leadership responsibilities upon the retirement of co-conspirator Adrian Dicker. Greisman was also a member of BDO's Tax Opinion Committee. Greisman was the point person within BDO for the preparation of income tax returns related to the reporting of tax results of BDO's clients' tax shelters. Greisman also oversaw the provision of information to the IRS in connection with audits of various BDO tax shelter clients' income tax returns.

8. At all times relevant to this Information, Michael Kerekes, a co-conspirator not named as a defendant herein, was a lawyer and a principal in BDO's Los Angeles office, where he acted as a technical tax expert and advisor to others at BDO. Kerekes was a member of BDO's TSG and Tax Opinion Committee.

B. The Jenkins & Gilchrist Co-Conspirators

9. At all times relevant to this Information, Paul M. Daugerdas, a co-conspirator not named as a defendant herein, was a lawyer and CPA. From in or about November 1994 until late December 1998, Daugerdas was a tax partner and head of the tax department at the Chicago law

firm of Altheimer & Gray (“A&G”). On or about December 29, 1998, Daugerdas resigned from A&G and, commencing on or about January 1, 1999, became the managing shareholder of the newly-formed Chicago office of Jenkins & Gilchrist, PC (“J&G”), a law firm then headquartered in Dallas, Texas. Daugerdas served as the Chicago office’s managing shareholder and head of the Chicago tax practice at J&G until in or about April 2004. At both A&G and J&G, Daugerdas’s tax practice centered around the design, marketing, and implementation of tax shelters.

10. At all times relevant to this Information, Donna Guerin, a co-conspirator not named as a defendant herein, was a lawyer and CPA. From at least 1994 through late December 1998, Guerin was a tax partner in A&G’s Chicago office. In late December 1998, Guerin moved to the Chicago office of J&G as a shareholder of J&G together with co-conspirator Daugerdas and other A&G lawyers. From at least 1994 and thereafter, Guerin’s practice included the design, marketing, and implementation of tax shelters.

C. The Deutsche Bank Co-Conspirators

11. Employees of Deutsche Bank, a foreign bank with United States headquarters in New York, New York, structured and implemented many of the financial transactions used in the J&G tax shelters, including those involving BDO and others.

12. From at least 1994 until in or about 1997, Alex Brown was an investment banking firm with offices nationwide, including Chicago, Illinois, and Dallas, Texas. From 1997 until in or about June 1999, Alex Brown was an investment banking subsidiary of a national bank. In or about June 1999, Alex Brown became an investment banking subsidiary of Deutsche Bank (Deutsche Bank Alex Brown and Deutsche Bank sometimes collectively hereinafter as “Deutsche Bank”).

13. Beginning in or about 1996, David Parse, a co-conspirator not named as a defendant herein, was a CPA and an Investment Representative in Deutsche Bank's Chicago office. Beginning in or about 1998 until in or about 2002, Parse participated in the design, marketing, and implementation of certain tax shelters with co-conspirators Paul Daugerdas and Donna Guerin of J&G, Denis Field and Robert Greisman of BDO, and others. Parse earned substantial commissions from the implementation of the J&G tax shelters, among other tax shelters.

14. From in or about 1998 through in or about 2003, co-conspirator David Parse worked with personnel of Alex Brown's Private Client Fixed Income desk in Baltimore, Maryland, and Deutsche Bank's foreign exchange trading desk ("FX desk") in New York, New York, to structure and implement the financial products used in certain J&G tax shelters. J&G and BDO referred tax shelter clients to Parse and others at Deutsche Bank in order for them to provide additional details to the clients about the options, stock, and foreign currency to be used in the implementation of the BDO and J&G tax shelters.

D. Other Pertinent Entities

15. At all times relevant to this Information, an outside law firm for BDO ("BDO Outside Law Firm A") was a major international law firm, with offices in New York and Washington, among other places.

II. INTRODUCTION

16. In or about late 1998, through the efforts of co-conspirators Denis Field, Robert Greisman, Charles Bee, Adrian Dicker, Michael Kerekes, and others, defendant BDO entered into an alliance with the law firm of Jenkins & Gilchrist, pursuant to which BDO assisted in the design, marketing, and implementation of certain J&G tax shelters to BDO's clients and potential

clients, acted as a referral source for clients to purchase certain J&G tax shelters, and prepared certain of the income tax returns reporting the tax benefits of the tax shelters. In return, J&G paid BDO a portion of the fees J&G collected from clients as a result of the sale of the tax shelters. Beginning in or about the Fall of 1999, BDO charged its tax shelter clients its own fee, in addition to the fee it received from J&G on the BDO clients' tax shelter sales.

17. From at least in or about 1998 through at least in or about 2003, defendant BDO and its co-conspirators participated in a scheme to defraud the IRS by designing, marketing, implementing and defending tax shelters using means and methods intended to deceive the IRS about the bona fides of those shelters, and about the circumstances under which the tax shelters were marketed and implemented.

18. Defendant BDO and its co-conspirators designed, marketed, and implemented fraudulent tax shelters with J&G known as the Short Sale and Short Options Strategy ("SOS") tax shelters (collectively hereinafter "the BDO tax shelters") for clients through false and fraudulent means; prepared and caused to be prepared, and filed and caused to be filed by the clients with the IRS, false and fraudulent U.S. individual income tax returns reporting the fraudulent tax shelter losses, resulting in the payment of far lower taxes by the clients; and fraudulently concealed from and misrepresented the true nature of the tax shelters to the IRS, in order to enrich themselves personally through the generation of extraordinary fee income. Certain co-conspirators also utilized tax shelters to evade their own taxes on income received largely through the sale of the fraudulent tax shelters.

19. Defendant BDO and its co-conspirators designed, marketed, and implemented the BDO tax shelters — portrayed to clients as turnkey tax elimination products (i.e., pre-packaged

and all-inclusive products)— as a means for wealthy individuals generally with multi-million dollar taxable income or gains to fraudulently eliminate or reduce the tax paid to the IRS on that income or gains. Thus, instead of the wealthy clients paying U.S. individual income taxes generally between 20% and 40% of their taxable income, the clients could choose the amount of tax loss or benefits, and pay BDO, co-conspirators, and others a fee generally equal to 5 to 10% of the desired tax loss or benefit. This all-inclusive cost included the fees of BDO, J&G, third-party referral sources, and/or others, as well as the net premium to Deutsche Bank used to execute the purported “investments” that were designed to make it appear that the shelters were legitimate investments rather than tax shelters. The size of the purported investments and the amount of the fees paid to BDO and its co-conspirators and others were determined based on the tax loss to be generated.

20. Defendant BDO and its co-conspirators understood that if the IRS were to detect the clients’ use of the respective tax shelters and learn the true facts and circumstances surrounding their design, marketing, and implementation, including their lack of both economic substance and genuine business purpose, the IRS would disallow the claimed tax benefits, and seek to impose substantial penalties, in addition to the tax and interest owed. Accordingly, BDO and its co-conspirators undertook to prevent the IRS from: (i) detecting their clients’ use of these tax shelters; (ii) understanding how the steps of the tax shelters operated to produce the purported tax benefits claimed by the clients; (iii) learning that these tax shelters were marketed as cookie-cutter products that were designed to and did significantly reduce or eliminate the clients’ tax obligations; (iv) learning that any potential payout from the financial product used in the tax shelter could never overcome the total fees required to engage in the tax shelter; (v) learning that the clients were not genuinely seeking profit-making investment opportunities, but were, instead, seeking huge tax

benefits; and (vi) learning that from the outset the clients intended to complete a preplanned series of steps that had been designed by BDO and its co-conspirators to lead to the specific tax losses sought by the clients.

III. THE BDO TAX SHELTERS

A. The Fraudulent Short Sale and SOS Shelters

21. While at A&G, co-conspirators Paul Daugerdas and Donna Guerin designed, marketed, and implemented a tax shelter known as the Short Sale tax shelter. Daugerdas, Guerin, and another J&G attorney brought the Short Sale tax shelter with them to J&G, and marketed and implemented it with defendant BDO and its co-conspirators, among others.

22. The Short Sale tax shelter was typically implemented through three entities set up by J&G — a single-member limited liability company (“LLC”), a partnership, and an S corporation — and typically involved the following preplanned steps. Through the LLC, the client borrowed U.S. Treasury securities (“Treasuries”) from Deutsche Bank and then sold them, thereby generating cash proceeds for the client (the so-called “short sale” of Treasuries). Subsequently, the client contributed the proceeds to a partnership, together with the obligation to close, or “cover,” the short position through the purchase of replacement Treasuries. Although the contribution of the short sale cash proceeds — in an amount that correlated to the tax loss sought by the client — was treated as a partnership asset (thereby increasing the client’s tax basis in the partnership), BDO and J&G took the position that the obligation to cover the short sale was not a liability for tax purposes because, notwithstanding the obligation to replace the Treasuries, the precise amount required to do so was not yet fixed or determined. Using additional client funds, the client through his or her partnership purchased a small amount of either shares of stock or foreign currency, or the client

contributed such assets to the partnership. The short sale would then be closed, usually after just a few days' duration and always before year's end. Following liquidation of the partnership (typically through transfer of partnership assets to the S corporation), the client's purportedly stepped-up basis in the partnership would carry over to the assets of the partnership — the stock or the foreign currency. BDO and J&G took the position that the subsequent sale of that stock or foreign currency, executed through Deutsche Bank, produced reportable tax losses for the clients. Those non-economic losses were approximately equivalent to the basis increase that had been purportedly produced through the tax shelter, and were the tax losses that the client sought in the purchase of the tax shelter. Those tax losses vastly exceeded any actual economic loss suffered by the client. The reporting of the tax shelter's tax benefits on the client's tax returns substantially reduced or eliminated the amount of taxes owed by the client.

23. A second and similar tax shelter, known as the "short options strategy" or "SOS" tax shelter, was designed, marketed, and implemented by BDO and its co-conspirators. It typically involved the following preplanned steps. Through an LLC, the client purchased from Deutsche Bank a long foreign currency digital option with a stated premium, or cost, equaling the amount of the tax loss the client sought to generate. Simultaneously, the client sold to Deutsche Bank a short foreign currency digital option with a virtually offsetting premium. The only money that the client was required to pay to Deutsche Bank — which was the only amount that the client was at risk of loss — was the difference between the premiums paid for the long and received for the sale of the short ("the net premium"), which typically was one percent (1%) of the tax loss sought by the client. Virtually all of the BDO/J&G SOS options had an approximate one-third chance of doubling the net premium, two-third chance of losing the net premium and a purported

remote possibility of making a much larger multiple of the net premium (the so-called “lottery” or “sweet spot”).

24. The client then contributed the long and short options to a partnership, which resulted in an increase in the client’s tax basis in the partnership equal to the long option. BDO and J&G took the position that the short option would not be treated as a liability for tax purposes, and thus no adjusting decrease in the client’s basis in the partnership would occur. As in the Short Sale tax shelter, using funds supplied by the client, the partnership then purchased a small amount of foreign currency or shares of stock, or the client contributed such assets to the partnership. Thereafter, often after a short duration and always before year’s end, the partnership would close the options positions. Following liquidation of the partnership (typically through transfer of partnership assets to the S corporation), the client’s purportedly stepped-up basis in the partnership would carry over to the assets of the partnership — the stock or the foreign currency. BDO and J&G took the position that the subsequent sale of that stock or foreign currency, executed through Deutsche Bank, produced reportable tax losses for the clients. Those non-economic losses were approximately equivalent to the basis increase that had been purportedly produced through the tax shelter, and were the tax losses that the client sought in the purchase of the tax shelter. Those tax losses vastly exceeded any actual economic loss suffered by the client. The reporting of the tax shelter’s tax benefits on the client’s tax returns substantially reduced or eliminated the amount of taxes owed by the client.

IV. THE TAX SHELTER FRAUD

A. Fraud in the Design of the BDO Tax Shelters

25. The Short Sale and SOS tax shelters were designed to produce tax losses for

the clients through a contrived and preplanned series of steps that lacked both economic substance and business purpose, and resulted in non-economic losses from the shelter flowing to the clients in order to offset their taxable income and reduce their income tax liabilities. There was no reasonable possibility for the clients to make a profit, given the duration and structure of the tax shelters and the fees required to be paid to obtain the losses. BDO and its co-conspirators marketed the Short Sale and SOS tax shelters as a way to eliminate clients' taxes. The use of the entities in the Short Sale and SOS tax shelters — the LLC, partnership, and S corporation — was designed to achieve the desired tax loss in a manner that concealed the true nature of the tax shelters from the IRS.

26. Personnel of Deutsche Bank's FX desk constructed the SOS options as a pair of a long and short options with the so-called "sweet spot" or lottery feature, whereby the tax shelter client's out-of-pocket cost would be one per cent (1%) of the net of the long and short options premiums. As defendant BDO and its co-conspirators well knew, there was in fact no reasonable possibility that the sweet spot would ever be hit by a tax shelter client. Indeed, because the risk of having to make a payout on the sweet spot was so small — essentially nil — personnel of Deutsche Bank's FX desk made a decision not to hedge the sweet spot. Despite the lack of any possibility of a sweet spot payout, BDO and its co-conspirators continued to portray the sweet spot as a remote but real possibility to potential tax shelter clients. They did so in order to enable the tax shelter clients to argue to the IRS that the sweet spot provided profit potential, when BDO and its co-conspirators knew there was none because the sweet spot could not be hit and Deutsche Bank personnel would not permit it to be hit.

27. The fees charged to the tax shelter clients were based on the amount and

character of the tax losses sought by the clients. For the Short Sale and SOS shelters, J&G generally charged the clients a fee of three per cent (3%) of the desired tax loss for capital losses, and a fee of four per cent (4%) of the desired tax loss for ordinary losses. For BDO clients, J&G further agreed to pay BDO 20% of the fee J&G received. BDO, which marketed the tax shelters to existing and potential clients, also typically charged the client an additional fee of three to five per cent (3%-5%) of the desired tax loss. Deutsche Bank generally received commissions for the Short Sale tax shelters and a 1% net premium on the SOS tax shelters. The net premium included Deutsche Bank's profit and the costs of implementing the options. BDO and J&G paid other referral sources a portion of its fees in payment for the referral of clients.

B. Fraud in the Marketing of the J&G Tax Shelters

28. To obtain tax shelter clients, the J&G co-conspirators created a large referral network, soliciting clients from numerous sources including other law firms and accounting firms. J&G paid the referral sources millions of dollars in fees in exchange for the client referrals. One of the most significant referral sources for the J&G co-conspirators was BDO, with whom J&G did approximately 196 Short Sale and SOS tax shelter transactions. BDO surveyed its base of tax and audit clients for tax shelter prospects, and developed alliances with other financial firms to provide referrals to J&G for additional tax shelter clients.

C. Fraud in the Implementation of the BDO Tax Shelters

(1) The false and fraudulent J&G opinion letters

29. The law in effect at all times relevant to this Information provided that if a taxpayer claimed a tax benefit by using a tax shelter, and that benefit was later disallowed, the IRS could impose substantial penalties upon the taxpayer — ranging from 20% to 40% of the

underpayment attributable to the shelter — unless the claimed tax benefit was supported by an independent opinion, reasonably relied upon by the taxpayer in good faith, that the client would “more likely than not” prevail in claiming the tax benefits from the tax shelter if challenged by the IRS. Thus, in order to encourage clients to participate in the tax shelters, J&G provided a cookie-cutter “more likely than not” opinion letter (“the opinion letter”) to the tax shelter clients. However, defendant BDO and its co-conspirators knew the tax shelter opinion letters were based on false and fraudulent statements and omitted material facts. By helping clients obtain the false and fraudulent opinion letters, with the understanding and intent that they would be presented to the IRS in defense of the transaction, if and when the clients were audited, BDO and its co-conspirators sought not only to undermine the ability of the IRS to ascertain the clients’ true tax liabilities, but also to undermine the IRS’s ability to determine whether penalties should be imposed.

30. The J&G SOS opinion letter contained the following false and fraudulent representations, among others:

a. The opinion stated:

You entered into the purchase and sale of the Options for substantial nontax business reasons, including (i) to produce overall economic profits because of your belief that the [foreign currency]/U.S. Dollar exchange rate and the [second foreign currency]/U.S. Dollar exchange rate relationships would change; and (ii) your belief that the most direct way, with the most leverage, to realize gain from expected changes in currency prices was the purchase and sale of the Options.

In truth and fact, the clients entered into the purchase and sale of the options in order to obtain the desired tax benefits, and had no substantial nontax business reasons for entering into them.

b. The opinion stated, “You contributed the Options to the Partnership for substantial nontax business reasons, including, but not limited to, potential diversification of the

risks of certain investments, the desire to co-invest as partners with the other co-partners and for your convenience.” In truth and fact, the clients had no substantial nontax business reasons for that step, and the clients took that step because the conspirators directed them to do so in order to achieve the tax losses or benefits they purchased.

c. The opinion stated, “Your contribution of your interest in the Partnership to [the S corporation] was made for substantial nontax business reasons including, but not limited to, consolidation of investment activities, bookkeeping, accounting and tax functions and elimination of duplicate work and expenses in administration.” In truth and fact, the clients made the contribution in order to achieve the tax benefits and for no nontax business reason whatsoever.

d. The opinion stated, “Neither you, [the] LLC, the Partnership, nor [the S corporation] were obligated to engage in any transaction to which our opinions herein relate upon the completion of any other of such Transactions.” In truth and fact, defendant BDO and its co-conspirators marketed to their clients, and the clients had paid fees to obtain, a tax shelter that, while not legally compelling a participant to complete any particular part of the transaction, consisted of a contrived, preplanned, and preordained series of steps designed to result in the predetermined tax benefits, for which the client was paying large fees to BDO and others.

e. The opinion stated, “To the best of your knowledge, you have provided to us all the facts and circumstances necessary for us to form our opinion, and the facts stated herein are accurate.” In truth and fact, BDO and its co-conspirators virtually never spoke with the clients about the facts and circumstances, or any of the representations, contained in the opinion letters, did not receive affirmation about the veracity of the facts and circumstances or the representations from any representative of the clients, and in some instances had no client contact

at all.

31. The J&G Short Sale opinion letters contained false and fraudulent representations virtually identical to those in the SOS opinion letters, all intended to convey to the IRS and federal courts that the clients had substantial nontax business reasons for entering into the various steps of the tax shelters, when in truth and fact the clients had no nontax business purpose — their purpose was to obtain the tax losses.

32. The J&G tax shelter opinions purported to be based upon “all the facts and circumstances necessary” for J&G to form its opinion. However, the J&G opinions failed to disclose that defendant BDO and J&G had designed and marketed the tax shelters, and implemented them on behalf of the clients, and that BDO and J&G had collected as its fee a percentage of the loss amount generated. In addition, the J&G opinions failed to disclose the following material facts, among others: (i) that the tax shelter clients responded to a promotional pitch that emphasized the respective shelter’s tax benefits, and they entered into the transaction primarily or exclusively to obtain those tax benefits; (ii) that the clients knew from the outset that a particular series of steps would be undertaken, for a given fee, leading ultimately to a specific tax result; (iii) that the tax shelters were structured so that each client would probably lose his or her entire cash contribution plus fees, and had no reasonable possibility of making a profit; and (iv) that the “more likely than not” opinion letters had been offered to the clients as part of BDO and J&G’s co-promotion of the tax shelter.

(2) The creation and use of false and fraudulent transactional documents in the BDO tax shelters

33. In order to maximize the appearance that each tax shelter was an investment undertaken to generate profits, and to minimize the likelihood that the IRS would learn that the tax

shelters were actually designed to create tax losses, defendant BDO and its co-conspirators created, assisted in creating, and reviewed transactional documents and other materials containing false and fraudulent information, including false and fraudulent descriptions of the clients' motivations for entering into the tax shelters' financial transactions and for taking the various steps that would yield the tax benefits. BDO and its co-conspirators intended that the false and fraudulent information ultimately be provided to the IRS to support the shelter losses or benefits claimed on the tax returns and defend against the imposition of penalties. Examples of such documents include letters purporting to document the client's business purpose for the use of the partnership in the transaction and the client's investment purpose in entering into the options transaction. The J&G co-conspirators also frequently drafted and sent to the clients the letters of authorization and other documents utilized to execute the preplanned steps of the J&G tax shelters, with instructions to the clients to sign but not date the documents, but later inserted many of the dates on the documents after the various steps had occurred.

34. As a result of their awareness that the BDO tax shelters lacked both reasonable profit potential and business purpose, and therefore were likely to be successfully challenged by the IRS, defendant BDO and co-conspirators Denis Field, Robert Greisman, Charlie Bee, Adrian Dicker, and Michael Kerekes, as well as other BDO TSG members, developed and used a template consulting agreement to disguise the fact that the fees clients would be charged by BDO were solely for the tax shelters. The consulting agreement contained a false and fraudulent description of the nature and scope of the services to be rendered under the agreement, and deliberately omitted any mention of the tax shelter. In truth and fact, as BDO and its co-conspirators knew, the services to be rendered by BDO under the consulting agreement and the fees referenced

therein were solely for the sale and implementation of the tax shelter. This was done so that BDO and its clients could falsely tell the IRS that the fees were for services in addition to the tax shelter, and therefore only a portion of BDO's fees should be counted when conducting a profitability analysis of the tax shelter.

(3) Preparation of the false and fraudulent income tax returns reporting the tax shelter benefits

35. Defendant BDO, under the supervision of co-conspirator Robert Greisman, prepared many false and fraudulent partnership and S corporation returns, and, for some clients, individual income tax returns, that reflected the tax benefits of the BDO tax shelter transactions for its clients. BDO and many of the clients' own accountants refused to sign income tax returns reporting the tax shelter benefits unless and until J&G issued its opinion letter.

D. Fraud During IRS Audits and Litigation Related to the BDO Tax Shelters

36. Beginning in or about 2002, the IRS began examinations, or audits, of BDO and certain individuals and entities that had participated in BDO tax shelters. In connection with those examinations, the IRS sought documents and sworn testimony from individuals knowledgeable about various aspects of the tax shelters. In order to mislead the IRS about the true nature of the tax shelters, BDO and its co-conspirators provided and caused to be provided false information to the IRS during the promoter penalty examination and audits of tax shelter clients' tax returns, including false responses to IRS Information Document Requests, false sworn testimony to the IRS, and false sworn testimony in federal courts in tax shelter-related litigation. This included false testimony by co-conspirator Michael Kerekes and various tax shelter clients. This also included co-conspirator Robert Greisman's coaching of clients to provide false information and statements to the IRS in connection with IRS audits, and his subornation of perjury in sworn IRS testimony.

E. Tax Harm Caused by the Fraudulent Tax Shelters

37. Because the tax shelters were executed simply to generate huge tax losses (and lacked economic substance and business purpose), the tax shelters resulted in massive tax evasion caused by the defendant on behalf of its clients.

38. From in or about 1998 through at least in or about 2003, defendant BDO sold and implemented the Short Sale and SOS tax shelters to at least 196 wealthy BDO clients, and generated at least \$1.3 billion in false and fraudulent tax losses. Among the individuals who used SOS-type shelters to evade their own taxes, largely on income earned from the sale of tax shelters, were co-conspirators Denis Field, Robert Greisman, Charles Bee, Adrian Dicker, Paul Daugerdas, and other co-conspirators not named as defendants herein.

F. The Income Received by BDO from the Tax Shelters

39. As a result of the involvement of defendant BDO in the fraudulent Short Sale and SOS tax shelters with J&G, BDO was paid approximate gross fees during the tax years 1998 through 2003 of at least \$54,000,000.

Statutory Allegations

40. From at least in or about 1997 through in or about 2003, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, BDO, the defendant, and its co-conspirators willfully and knowingly did combine, conspire, confederate and agree to defraud the United States and an agency thereof, to wit, the Internal Revenue Service, and to commit offenses against the United States, to wit, violations of Title 26, United States Code, Sections 7201 and 7206.

Objects of the Conspiracy

41. It was a part and object of the conspiracy that, from in or about 1997 through in or about 2003, BDO, the defendant, and its co-conspirators willfully and knowingly would and did defraud the United States of America and an agency thereof, to wit, the IRS, by impeding, impairing, defeating, and obstructing the lawful governmental functions of the IRS in the ascertainment, evaluation, assessment, and collection of income taxes.

42. It was a further part and object of the conspiracy that, from in or about 1997 through in or about 2003, BDO, the defendant, and its co-conspirators willfully and knowingly would and did attempt to evade and defeat a substantial part of the income taxes due and owing by the tax shelter clients, in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201.

43. It was a further part and object of the conspiracy that, from in or about 1997 through in or about 2003, BDO, the defendant, and its co-conspirators willfully and knowingly would and did make and subscribe false and fraudulent tax returns, and aid and assist in the preparation and filing of said tax returns for its tax shelter clients, in violation of Title 26, Sections 7206(1) and (2).

Means and Methods of the Conspiracy

44. Among the means and methods by which BDO and its co-conspirators, and others known and unknown to the grand jury would and did carry out the objectives of the conspiracy were the following:

a. They would and did design, market, and implement the tax shelters, and create false and fraudulent factual scenarios to support those transactions, so that wealthy

individuals could pay a percentage of their income or gain in fees to BDO, J&G, Deutsche Bank, and the other participants in the transactions, rather than paying a substantially greater amount in taxes to the IRS;

b. They would and did design, market, and implement the tax shelter transactions in ways that made it difficult for the IRS to detect it and determine the true nature of the transaction;

c. They would and did design, market and implement the tax shelter transactions in ways that disguised the fact that the tax shelters were tax-motivated, and lacked virtually any nontax business purpose;

d. They would and did seek to prevent the IRS from learning that they had marketed tax shelters consisting of preplanned steps leading to predetermined tax benefits;

e. They would and did prepare and assist in preparing, and cause to be prepared, false and fraudulent documents in order to deceive the IRS, including but not limited to, transactional documents and correspondence;

f. They would and did craft and assist in crafting legal opinions for use by the tax shelter clients in defending the transactions and shielding the clients from penalties, knowing that these opinions contained false, fraudulent, and misleading information and omitted other information, all of which was material to a determination of whether the claimed tax results were allowable;

g. They would and did prepare and cause to be prepared tax returns for the tax shelter clients that were false and fraudulent because, among other things, they claimed

fraudulent tax losses and thereby substantially understated the tax due and owing by the tax shelter clients;

h. They would and did provide and cause to be provided false information to the IRS during the course of audits of clients' tax shelter returns and make and cause to be made false statements to the IRS and sworn false testimony in tax shelter-related litigation; and

i. They would and did pay and cause to be paid bonuses to employees and referral fees to third-party referral sources, which frequently were not disclosed to the client, as a means of rewarding those who successfully marketed the tax shelter transactions and to provide incentives to others to do so.

Overt Acts

45. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects thereof, defendant BDO and its co-conspirators committed and caused to be committed the following overt acts, among others, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere:

a. On or about January 12, 1999, co-conspirator Robert Greisman sent a letter and attached spreadsheet to co-conspirator Paul Daugerdas, and copied co-conspirator Denis Field, which confirmed the tax shelter transactions for Short Sale clients whom BDO referred to J&G and the estimated fees that J&G owed BDO relating to those clients.

b. On or about March 15, 1999, co-conspirator Robert Greisman sent to the BDO Tax Opinion Committee members, including co-conspirator Denis Field, a memorandum that discussed the issues surrounding the inclusion of fees as transactions costs in the Short Sale tax shelter. Greisman noted, "As such, it is understood that transaction costs, when set against the

expected profit on the short sale trade, would render it impossible to earn a profit on the trade. If it's impossible to earn a profit, presumably a taxpayer's reliance on a tax opinion would be unreasonable for purposes of avoiding penalties." Greisman further discussed as a possible solution the "re-engineering" of the fee of co-conspirator Paul Daugerdas "so as to separate out the fees for the tax opinion from other tax and legal consulting they provide in connection with a transaction that gives rise to capital gain, such as the sale of the business." Greisman further noted Daugerdas's willingness to consider such a proposal provided that his overall fee not be reduced.

c. In or about September 1999, co-conspirator Adrian Dicker, after speaking to another accountant involved in the design, marketing, and implementation of tax shelters, introduced to and discussed with co-conspirators Denis Field and Robert Greisman, and other TSG members a way to attempt to conceal the reporting of the losses generated by the tax shelters through the netting of gains and losses on a grantor trust tax return, instead of reporting the gains and the losses separately on the clients' individual income tax return.

d. In or about Fall 1999, co-conspirator Michael Kerekes met with Client N.D. to pitch him on an SOS tax shelter that could eliminate taxes the Client expected to pay on his 1999 income.

e. On or about October 1, 1999, co-conspirator Denis Field presented a Power Point slide show at a BDO partnership meeting, emphasizing the profits already generated by and to be generated by the sale of BDO's tax shelter products.

f. On or about November 8, 1999, Michael Kerekes, a co-conspirator not named as a defendant herein, signed and sent a consulting agreement to Client N.D. that falsely

and fraudulently described the services to be rendered under the agreement as comprising:

consulting services in conjunction with ongoing planning for [Client N.D.'s] business interests, including planning for future operations and/or orderly termination and liquidation thereof, assisting [Client N.D.] and entities [he] control[s] in evaluating the various options and their consequences, providing numerical computations . . . to illustrate those consequences, coordinating with [Client N.D.'s] legal counsel, estate planners and financial advisors to coordinate the resolution of matters relating to [Client N.D.'s] other business, legal and financial matters, and such other services as [Client N.D.] may request that relate to the above-listed services.

The consulting agreement, which did not mention the tax shelter, provided that Client N.D. would be required to pay BDO \$650,000 — approximately 3% of the \$22.7 million tax loss sought by Client N.D.

g. On or about November 4, 1999, co-conspirator Robert Greisman sent an e-mail to co-conspirators Donna Guerin and Denis Field, among others, containing the comments of BDO's TSG members with respect to the J&G tax opinion letter for the SOS tax shelter.

h. On or about November 15, 1999, co-conspirator Robert Greisman drafted a template letter to be sent to clients and placed in client files in order to provide false and fraudulent business purpose for BDO's tax shelters. The letter provided: "Dear [blank,] Just a short note to confirm that I've thought about the business and tax issues we've been discussing and concluded that you should contribute the investments to the partnership. Best regards, Robert Greisman."

i. On or about December 8, 1999, co-conspirator Robert Greisman sent via e-mail to co-conspirator Donna Guerin a memorandum that detailed each step that still needed to occur in the ongoing SOS transactions and who — as between BDO, J&G, and Deutsche Bank

— would be responsible for the completion of each particular step.

j. In or about late 1999 or early 2000, during a discussion about profit potential in the tax shelter transactions in light of the fees charged by J&G and BDO, co-conspirator Charles Bee discussed with co-conspirator Paul Daugerdas the disguising of BDO's tax shelter fees through the use of a consulting agreement or engagement letter that falsely portrayed the nature and scope of the services to be rendered, and that deliberately omitted any mention of the tax shelter.

k. On or about March 14, 2000, co-conspirator Adrian Dicker sent an e-mail to co-conspirator Robert Greisman that contained Dicker's comments on a J&G draft template SOS opinion letter.

l. On or about March 22, 2000, J&G sent an invoice for \$681,000 to Client N.D. as J&G's fee for the SOS tax shelter. J&G's fee equaled three per cent (3%) of the \$22.7 million tax loss sought by Client N.D.

m. On or about April 12, 2000, under a cover letter she signed, co-conspirator Donna Guerin sent a false and fraudulent J&G opinion letter to Client N.D. in support of his SOS tax shelter. Guerin also sent copies of the cover letter and opinion letter to co-conspirator Michael Kerekes of BDO.

n. On or about June 5, 2000, BDO signed a compensation agreement with co-conspirators Denis Field, Charles Bee, and Adrian Dicker, retroactive to July 1, 1999, that entitled them to 30% of the net profits of the TSG, which they were to share equally.

o. On or about August 15, 2000, BDO tax shelter Client N.D. signed and filed with the IRS a false and fraudulent 1999 U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form

1040, on which he claimed fraudulent losses derived from a J&G SOS tax shelter and which substantially reduced the income taxes he would otherwise have owed.

p. In the Fall of 2000, co-conspirators Denis Field and Charles Bee caused BDO's Outside Law Firm A to delete damaging information from its report for BDO regarding the practices of the Tax Solutions Group, and made false statements about the conclusions of BDO's Outside Law Firm A to members of the TSG and BDO's Board of Directors, in order to continue and encourage the lucrative sales of BDO's tax shelters.

q. On or about February 24, 2002, co-conspirator Robert Greisman met with tax shelter Client H.F. and his advisor, and a BDO TSG member, regarding Client H.F.'s response to IRS information requests relating to Client H.F.'s tax shelter.

r. On or about August 9, 2002, co-conspirator Michael Kerekes made false and misleading statements to the IRS during a deposition relating to the audit of tax shelter Client B.A.

s. On or about December 10, 2002, co-conspirator Michael Kerekes made false and misleading statements to the IRS during a second deposition relating to the audit of Client B.A.

t. On or about April 7, 2003, co-conspirator Robert Greisman faxed a letter to J&G identifying tax shelter clients who needed "follow up" tax opinions in 2003 relating to tax shelters executed in earlier tax years that generated losses in 2002.

u. On or about October 18, 2003, BDO tax shelter Client W.H. filed with the IRS a false and fraudulent 2002 U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, on which he

claimed fraudulent losses derived from a BDO SOS tax shelter and which substantially reduced the taxes he would otherwise have owed.

(Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.)



PREET BHARARA
United States Attorney

Exhibit C

BDO USA's Acknowledgment Accepting Responsibility

Beginning in the fall of 1997 and ending in 2003, BDO USA (formerly known as BDO Seidman LLP) ("BDO") at the same time as and in conjunction with various law firms, banks, investment advisers and other accounting firms, developed, marketed, sold and implemented tax shelter products to high net worth individuals. BDO received gross fees of approximately \$200,000,000 with regard to these transactions. BDO also prepared tax returns reflecting tax losses claimed to have been derived from those tax shelter products.

A group within the firm known as The Tax Solutions Group was primarily responsible for the activities relating to BDO's tax shelter products. Denis Field, former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of BDO, and Charles Bee and Adrian Dicker, senior tax partners and members of the BDO Board of Directors managed and were primarily responsible for the activities of the Tax Solutions Group. In order to maximize the sales of tax shelter products, the Tax Solutions Group leadership utilized a bonus structure that rewarded those persons involved in the design, marketing and implementation of the Tax Solutions Group tax shelter products. In addition to the compensation they otherwise received from BDO, Field, Bee and Dicker collectively received 30% of the profits of the Tax Solutions Group through a separate limited liability company of which they were the Managing Directors.

These tax shelter products were marketed by BDO to individuals who had, or expected to have, reportable income or gains in excess of \$5 million. The BDO tax shelter products were designed, marketed and implemented to appear to the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") to be investments that had favorable tax consequences. However, these tax shelter products were not in fact investments. Rather, they were designed and utilized by clients primarily, if not exclusively, to achieve a desired tax savings through a series of pre-planned steps.

Individual clients paid fees to BDO and other professionals based on a percentage of the tax savings anticipated in connection with the tax shelter products. Such fees were generally paid pursuant to consulting agreements, many of which included services which some Tax Solutions Group members knew were not to be provided and which were included to give the appearance that the fees were for something other than the tax shelter product. However, given the fees paid to BDO and others for tax shelter products, clients generally had no realistic expectation of economic profit resulting from these transactions, apart from the tax savings.

The tax shelter products included legal opinions intended to provide "penalty protection" to individual clients in the event that the IRS audited their tax returns. These legal opinions, many of which were written by the law firm *Jenkins & Gilchrist*, were premised upon taxpayer representations that were either never actually made by the individual clients or that were in fact false. These representations included that the transactions themselves - and individual components of the transactions - were entered into or undertaken for "substantial nontax business reasons." Certain members of the Tax Solutions Group provided assistance to *Jenkins & Gilchrist* and other law firms in drafting legal opinions, and assistance to these law firms, *Deutsche Bank*, and other financial institutions and advisors in implementing these transactions.

In implementing the sale of tax shelter products, certain members of the Tax Solutions Group prepared documents or correspondence that did not accurately reflect events or conversations. Such documents and correspondence suggested falsely that the clients had a nontax business purpose for entering into the transactions. In other instances, the documents and correspondence advised clients to take actions that the authors of the documents and correspondence knew had already occurred. In particular, certain correspondence falsely suggested that clients had expressed an interest in investment opportunities that had hedging potential or that certain members of the Tax Solutions Group were "looking out" for investment opportunities. In certain instances, members of the Tax Solutions Group falsely portrayed the transactions under examination as legitimate profit-motivated transactions in response to IRS Information Document Requests and in testimony before the IRS. Additionally, members of the Tax Solutions Group considered use of a grantor trust structure to minimize the possibility of IRS audit.

The activities of the Tax Solutions Group in the development, marketing, sale and implementation of the tax shelter products during this time period assisted high net worth individuals in evading individual income taxes. These activities resulted in the filing of false and fraudulent income tax returns by the purchasers of these tax shelter products, thereby defrauding the IRS and impeding and impairing the ability of the IRS to effectively administer the Federal Income Tax Laws. The transactions implemented in conjunction with Jenkens & Gilchrist generated more than \$1,000,000,000 of claimed tax losses and resulted in the evasion of approximately \$200,000,000 in clients' taxes.

The years 1997 through 2003 represent an isolated period in a one hundred year history of ethical and professional conduct by BDO and its partners. BDO has implemented extensive changes in its governance and compliance procedures, as well as in its personnel, to prevent such conduct from occurring in the future. BDO has cooperated fully with both the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York and the IRS in their investigations of tax shelter products and will continue to do so.