

FILED

MAY 16 2016

CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

CORNING INTERNATIONAL
KABUSHIKI KAISHA,

Defendant.

Criminal No. 16-20357

Filed:

Violation: 15 U.S.C. § 1

PLEA AGREEMENT

The United States of America and Corning International Kabushiki Kaisha ("Defendant" or "Corning International K.K."), a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan, hereby enter into the following Plea Agreement pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(C) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure ("Fed. R. Crim. P."):

RIGHTS OF DEFENDANT

1. The defendant understands its rights:
 - (a) to be represented by an attorney;
 - (b) to be charged by Indictment;
 - (c) as a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan, to decline to accept service of the Summons in this case, and to contest the jurisdiction of the United States to prosecute this case against it in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan;
 - (d) to plead not guilty to any criminal charge brought against it;
 - (e) to have a trial by jury, at which it would be presumed not guilty of the charge and the United States would have to prove every essential element of the charged offense beyond a reasonable doubt for it to be found guilty;

- (f) to confront and cross-examine witnesses against it and to subpoena witnesses in its defense at trial;
- (g) to appeal its conviction if it is found guilty; and
- (h) to appeal the imposition of sentence against it.

**AGREEMENT TO PLEAD GUILTY
AND WAIVE CERTAIN RIGHTS**

2. The defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives the rights set out in Paragraph 1(b)-(g) above. The defendant also knowingly and voluntarily waives the right to file any appeal, any collateral attack, or any other writ or motion, including but not limited to an appeal under 18 U.S.C. § 3742, that challenges the sentence imposed by the Court if that sentence is consistent with or below the recommended sentence in Paragraph 9 of this Plea Agreement, regardless of how the sentence is determined by the Court. This agreement does not affect the rights or obligations of the United States as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3742(b)-(c). Nothing in this paragraph, however, will act as a bar to the defendant perfecting any legal remedies it may otherwise have on appeal or collateral attack respecting claims of ineffective assistance of counsel or prosecutorial misconduct. The defendant agrees that there is currently no known evidence of ineffective assistance of counsel or prosecutorial misconduct. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 7(b), the defendant will waive indictment and plead guilty to a one-count Information to be filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan. The Information will charge the defendant with participating in a conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition by allocating customers and market shares, rigging bids, and fixing prices for ceramic substrates (“substrates”) sold in the United States and elsewhere, and used in the emissions control systems of automobiles manufactured and/or sold in the United States and elsewhere, beginning at least as early as July 1999 and continuing until on or about July 2011, in violation of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1. The defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives any defense or objection to the Information based on any statute of limitations; the

doctrines of waiver, laches, or estoppel; the applicability of Fed. R. Crim. P. 48; or any statutory or constitutional right to a speedy trial or to the absence of pre-indictment delay. The defendant further states that the waivers in this paragraph are made after fully conferring with, and on the advice of, defendant's counsel, and are made for the defendant's benefit.

3. The defendant will plead guilty to the criminal charge described in Paragraph 2 above pursuant to the terms of this Plea Agreement and will make a factual admission of guilt to the Court in accordance with Fed. R. Crim. P. 11, as set forth in Paragraph 4 below.

FACTUAL BASIS FOR OFFENSE CHARGED

4. For purposes of this Plea Agreement, the "relevant period" is that period from at least as early as July 1999 and continuing until at least July 2011. Had this case gone to trial, the United States would have presented evidence sufficient to prove the following facts. During the relevant period:

(a) The defendant was a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan with its principal place of business in Tokyo, Japan. The defendant had 10 or more employees, and its sales of ceramic substrates that were affected by the conspiracy were between \$200 million and \$410 million. The defendant was a wholly owned subsidiary of Corning Incorporated, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the United States with its principal place of business in Corning, New York.

(b) Through Corning International K.K. personnel providing services to Corning Incorporated's mobile emissions division (Corning Environmental Technologies Japan ("CET Japan")), the defendant marketed and managed sales of substrates, manufactured by Corning Incorporated in the United States, which were used in the emission control systems of automobiles manufactured and/or sold in the United States and elsewhere. A substrate is an uncoated ceramic monolith with a fine honeycomb structure that, after coating by third parties with a mix of metals and other chemicals, is incorporated into an automotive catalytic converter. A catalytic converter is an emissions

control device that converts certain pollutants in an exhaust gas stream into less harmful gases through a catalytic chemical reaction.

(c) The defendant, through a senior CET Japan executive who had substantial authority within the defendant's company, participated in a conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition by allocating customers and market shares, rigging bids, and fixing prices for substrates sold in the United States and elsewhere, and used in the emissions control systems of automobiles manufactured and/or sold in the United States and elsewhere, in violation of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1. In furtherance of the conspiracy, defendant engaged in discussions and attended meetings with representatives of another ceramic substrate manufacturer. During those discussions and meetings, agreements were reached to allocate certain customers and market shares, rig certain bids, and to fix, stabilize and maintain the prices for substrates sold in the United States and elsewhere, and used in the emissions control systems of automobiles manufactured and/or sold in the United States and elsewhere. Defendant's participation directly affected United States commerce.

(d) The manufacture and sales of substrates by the defendant and its co-conspirators occurred in a continuous and uninterrupted flow of interstate and import commerce. The defendant marketed and managed sales of Corning Incorporated substrates manufactured in Erwin, New York, and Blacksburg, Virginia. Those substrates were sold in interstate commerce and used in automobiles manufactured in various states in the United States. Substrates sold by the conspirators and payments for those substrates traveled in interstate and import trade and commerce.

(e) The defendant and its co-conspirators sold, directly or indirectly, substantial quantities of substrates to automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere. The business activities of defendant and its co-conspirators in connection

with the sale of substrates were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate and import trade and commerce.

(f) Acts in furtherance of this conspiracy were carried out within the Eastern District of Michigan. Substrates that were the subject of this conspiracy were sold by one or more of the conspirators to customers in this District.

ELEMENTS OF THE OFFENSE

5. The elements of a 15 U.S.C. § 1 violation, to which the defendant will plead guilty, are as follows:

First, that a conspiracy that amounted to an unreasonable restraint of trade or commerce among the several States or with foreign nations was knowingly formed, and existed at or about the time alleged;

Second, that the defendant knowingly entered into the conspiracy; and

Third, that either acts taken in furtherance of the conspiracy were in the flow of interstate or foreign commerce, or the conspirators' general business activities infected by the conspiracy substantially affected interstate or foreign commerce.

POSSIBLE MAXIMUM SENTENCE

6. The defendant understands that the statutory maximum penalty which may be imposed against it upon conviction for a violation of Section One of the Sherman Antitrust Act is a fine in an amount equal to the greatest of:

(a) \$100 million (15 U.S.C. § 1);

(b) twice the gross pecuniary gain the conspirators derived from the crime (18 U.S.C. § 3571(c) and (d)); or

(c) twice the gross pecuniary loss caused to the victims of the crime by the conspirators (18 U.S.C. § 3571(c) and (d)).

7. In addition, the defendant understands that:

(a) pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3561(c)(1), the Court may impose a term of probation of at least one year, but not more than five years;

(b) pursuant to §8B1.1 of the United States Sentencing Guidelines (“U.S.S.G.,” “Sentencing Guidelines,” or “Guidelines”) or 18 U.S.C. § 3563(b)(2) or 3663(a)(3), the Court may order it to pay restitution to the victims of the offense; and

(c) pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013(a)(2)(B), the Court is required to order the defendant to pay a \$400 special assessment upon conviction for the charged crime.

SENTENCING GUIDELINES

8. The defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory, not mandatory, but that the Court must consider, in determining and imposing sentence, the Guidelines Manual in effect on the date of sentencing unless that Manual provides for greater punishment than the Manual in effect on the last date that the offense of conviction was committed, in which case the Court must consider the Guidelines Manual in effect on the last date that the offense of conviction was committed. The parties agree there is no *ex post facto* issue under the November 2015 Guidelines Manual. The Court must also consider the other factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) in determining and imposing sentence. The defendant understands that the Guidelines determinations will be made by the Court by a preponderance of the evidence standard. The defendant understands that although the Court is not ultimately bound to impose a sentence within the applicable Guidelines range, its sentence must be reasonable based upon consideration of all relevant sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Pursuant to U.S.S.G. §1B1.8, the United States agrees that self-incriminating information that the defendant provides to the United States pursuant to this Plea Agreement will not be used to increase the volume of affected commerce attributable to the defendant or in

determining the defendant's applicable Guidelines range, except to the extent provided in U.S.S.G. §1B1.8(b).

SENTENCING AGREEMENT

9. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(C) and subject to the full, truthful, and continuing cooperation of the defendant and Corning Incorporated, as defined in Paragraph 12 of this Plea Agreement, the United States and the defendant agree that the appropriate disposition of this case is, and agree to recommend jointly that the Court impose, a sentence within the applicable Guidelines range requiring the defendant to pay to the United States a criminal fine of \$66.5 million, payable in full before the fifteenth (15th) day after the date of judgment and no order of restitution. The parties agree that there exists no aggravating or mitigating circumstance of a kind, or to a degree, not adequately taken into consideration by the U.S. Sentencing Commission in formulating the Sentencing Guidelines justifying a departure pursuant to U.S.S.G. §5K2.0. The parties agree not to seek at the sentencing hearing any sentence outside of the Guidelines range nor any Guidelines adjustment for any reason that is not set forth in this Plea Agreement. The parties further agree that the recommended sentence set forth in this Plea Agreement is reasonable.

(a) The defendant understands that the Court will order it to pay a \$400 special assessment, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013(a)(2)(B), in addition to any fine imposed.

(b) In light of the availability of civil causes of action which potentially provide for a recovery of a multiple of actual damages, the recommended sentence does not include a restitution order for the offense charged in the Information.

(c) The United States will take no position on any request by the defendant that no term of probation be imposed. The defendant understands that the Court's denial of the defendant's request that no term of probation be imposed will not void this Plea Agreement.

(d) The United States and the defendant jointly submit that this Plea Agreement and Attachment A, together with the record that will be created by the United States and the defendant at the plea and sentencing hearings, and the further disclosure described in Paragraph 11, will provide sufficient information concerning the defendant, the crime charged in this case, and the defendant's role in the crime to enable the meaningful exercise of sentencing authority by the Court under 18 U.S.C. § 3553. The United States and defendant agree to request jointly that the Court accept the defendant's guilty plea and impose sentence on an expedited schedule as early as the date of arraignment, based upon the record provided by the defendant and the United States, under the provisions of Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(c)(1)(A)(ii) and U.S.S.G. §6A1.1. The Court's denial of the request to impose sentence on an expedited schedule will not void this Plea Agreement.

10. Subject to the full, truthful, and continuing cooperation of the defendant and Corning Incorporated, as defined in Paragraph 12 of this Plea Agreement, and prior to sentencing in this case, the United States will fully advise the Court and the Probation Office of the fact, manner, and extent of the defendant's and Corning Incorporated's cooperation and their commitment to prospective cooperation with the United States' investigation and prosecutions, all material facts relating to the defendant's involvement in the charged offense, and all other relevant conduct.

11. The United States and the defendant understand that the Court retains complete discretion to accept or reject the recommended sentence provided for in Paragraph 9 of this Plea Agreement.

(a) If the Court does not accept the recommended sentence, the United States and the defendant agree that this Plea Agreement, except for Paragraph 11(b) below, will be rendered void.

(b) If the Court does not accept the recommended sentence, the defendant will be free to withdraw its guilty plea (Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(5) and (d)). If the defendant withdraws its plea of guilty, this Plea Agreement, the guilty plea, and any statement made in the course of any proceedings under Fed. R. Crim. P. 11 regarding the guilty plea or this Plea Agreement or made in the course of plea discussions with an attorney for the government will not be admissible against the defendant in any criminal or civil proceeding, except as otherwise provided in Fed. R. Evid. 410. In addition, the defendant agrees that, if it withdraws its guilty plea pursuant to this subparagraph of this Plea Agreement, the statute of limitations period for any offense referred to in Paragraph 15 of this Plea Agreement will be tolled for the period between the date of signature of this Plea Agreement and the date the defendant withdrew its guilty plea or for a period of sixty (60) days after the date of signature of this Plea Agreement, whichever period is greater.

DEFENDANT'S COOPERATION

12. The defendant and Corning Incorporated will cooperate fully and truthfully with the United States in the prosecution of this case, the current federal investigation of violations of federal antitrust and related criminal laws involving the manufacture or sale of substrates and any federal investigation resulting therefrom, and any litigation or other proceedings arising or resulting from any such investigation to which the United States is a party (collectively "Federal Proceeding"). Federal Proceeding includes, but is not limited to, an investigation, prosecution, litigation, or other proceeding regarding obstruction of, the making of a false statement or declaration in, the commission of perjury or subornation of perjury in, the commission of contempt in, or conspiracy to commit such offenses in, a Federal Proceeding. The full, truthful, and continuing cooperation of the defendant and Corning Incorporated will include, but not be limited to:

(a) producing to the United States all documents, information, and other materials, wherever located, not protected under the attorney-client privilege or the work-product doctrine (and with translations into English) in the possession, custody, or control of the defendant or Corning Incorporated, that are requested by the United States in connection with any Federal Proceeding;

(b) securing the full, truthful, and continuing cooperation, as defined in Paragraph 13 of this Plea Agreement, of the current directors, officers, and employees of the defendant or Corning Incorporated as may be requested by the United States, but excluding the individuals listed in Attachment A filed under seal, including making such persons available in the United States and at other mutually agreed-upon locations, at the defendant's expense, for interviews and the provision of testimony in grand jury, trial, and other judicial proceedings in connection with any Federal Proceeding. Current directors, officers, and employees are defined for purposes of this Plea Agreement as individuals who are directors, officers, or employees of the defendant or Corning Incorporated as of the date of signature of this Plea Agreement.

13. The full, truthful, and continuing cooperation of each person described in Paragraph 12(b) above will be subject to the procedures and protections of this paragraph, and will include, but not be limited to:

(a) producing in the United States and at other mutually agreed-upon locations all documents, including claimed personal documents, and other materials, wherever located, not protected under the attorney-client privilege or the work-product doctrine (and with translations into English), that are requested by attorneys and agents of the United States in connection with any Federal Proceeding;

(b) making himself or herself available for interviews in the United States and at other mutually agreed-upon locations, not at the expense of the United States, upon the

request of attorneys and agents of the United States in connection with any Federal Proceeding;

(c) responding fully and truthfully to all inquiries of the United States in connection with any Federal Proceeding, without falsely implicating any person or intentionally withholding any information, subject to the penalties of making a false statement or declaration (18 U.S.C. §§ 1001, 1623), obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503 *et seq.*), or conspiracy to commit such offenses;

(d) otherwise voluntarily providing the United States with any material or information not requested in (a)-(c) of this paragraph and not protected under the attorney-client privilege or work-product doctrine that he or she may have that is related to any Federal Proceeding;

(e) when called upon to do so by the United States in connection with any Federal Proceeding, testifying in grand jury, trial, and other judicial proceedings in the United States fully, truthfully, and under oath, subject to the penalties of perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1621), making a false statement or declaration in grand jury or court proceedings (18 U.S.C. § 1623), contempt (18 U.S.C. §§ 401-402), and obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503 *et seq.*); and

(f) agreeing that, if the agreement not to prosecute him or her in this Plea Agreement is rendered void under Paragraph 15(c), the statute of limitations period for any Relevant Offense, as defined in Paragraph 15(a), will be tolled as to him or her for the period between the date of signature of this Plea Agreement and six (6) months after the date that the United States gave notice of its intent to void its obligations to that person under this Plea Agreement.

GOVERNMENT'S AGREEMENT

14. Subject to the full, truthful, and continuing cooperation of the defendant and Corning Incorporated, as defined in Paragraph 12 of this Plea Agreement, and upon the Court's acceptance of the guilty plea called for by this Plea Agreement and the imposition of the recommended sentence, the United States agrees that it will not bring further criminal charges against the defendant or Corning Incorporated for any act or offense committed before the date of signature of this Plea Agreement that was undertaken in furtherance of an antitrust conspiracy involving the manufacture or sale of substrates. The nonprosecution terms of this paragraph do not apply to (a) any acts of subornation of perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1622), making a false statement (18 U.S.C. § 1001), obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503 *et seq.*), contempt (18 U.S.C. §§ 401-402), or conspiracy to commit such offenses; (b) civil matters of any kind; (c) any violation of the federal tax or securities laws or conspiracy to commit such offenses; or (d) any crime of violence.

15. The United States agrees to the following:

(a) Upon the Court's acceptance of the guilty plea called for by this Plea Agreement and the imposition of the recommended sentence and subject to the exceptions noted in Paragraph 15(c), the United States agrees that it will not bring criminal charges against any current director, officer, or employee of the defendant or Corning Incorporated for any act or offense committed before the date of signature of this Plea Agreement and while that person was acting as a director, officer, or employee of the defendant or Corning Incorporated that was undertaken in furtherance of an antitrust conspiracy involving the manufacture or sale of substrates ("Relevant Offense"), except that the protections granted in paragraph 15 do not apply to the individuals listed in

Attachment A filed under seal, regardless of their employment status, or to any former director, officer, or employee of the defendant or Corning Incorporated or any related entities;

(b) Should the United States determine that any current director, officer, or employee of the defendant or Corning Incorporated may have information relevant to any Federal Proceeding, the United States may request that person's cooperation under the terms of this Plea Agreement by written request delivered to counsel for the individual (with a copy to the undersigned counsel for the defendant) or, if the individual is not known by the United States to be represented, to the undersigned counsel for the defendant;

(c) If any person requested to provide cooperation under Paragraph 15(b) fails to comply fully with his or her obligations under Paragraph 13, then the terms of this Plea Agreement as they pertain to that person and the agreement not to prosecute that person granted in this Plea Agreement will be rendered void, and the United States may prosecute such person criminally for any federal crime of which the United States has knowledge, including, but not limited to any Relevant Offense;

(d) Except as provided in Paragraph 15(e), information provided by a person described in Paragraph 15(b) to the United States under the terms of this Plea Agreement pertaining to any Relevant Offense, or any information directly or indirectly derived from that information, may not be used against that person in a criminal case, except in a prosecution for perjury or subornation of perjury (18 U.S.C. §§ 1621-22), making a false statement or declaration (18 U.S.C. §§ 1001, 1623), obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503 *et seq.*), contempt (18 U.S.C. §§ 401-402), or conspiracy to commit such offenses;

(e) If any person who provides information to the United States under this Plea Agreement fails to comply fully with his or her obligations under Paragraph 13 of this Plea Agreement, the agreement in Paragraph 15(d) not to use that information or any information directly or indirectly derived from it against that person in a criminal case will be rendered void;

(f) The nonprosecution terms of this paragraph do not apply to civil matters of any kind; any violation of the federal tax or securities laws or conspiracy to commit such offenses; any crime of violence; or perjury or subornation of perjury (18 U.S.C. §§ 1621-22), making a false statement or declaration (18 U.S.C. §§ 1001, 1623), obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503 *et seq.*), contempt (18 U.S.C. §§ 401-402), or conspiracy to commit such offenses; and

(g) Documents provided under Paragraphs 12(a) and 13(a) will be deemed responsive to outstanding grand jury subpoenas issued to the defendant or Corning Incorporated.

16. The United States agrees that when any person travels to the United States for interviews, grand jury appearances, or court appearances pursuant to this Plea Agreement, or for meetings with counsel in preparation therefor, the United States will take no action, based upon any Relevant Offense, to subject such person to arrest, detention, or service of process, or to prevent such person from departing the United States. This paragraph does not apply to an individual's commission of perjury or subornation of perjury (18 U.S.C. §§ 1621-22), making a false statement or declaration (18 U.S.C. §§ 1001, 1623), obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503 *et seq.*), contempt (18 U.S.C. §§ 401-402), or conspiracy to commit such offenses.

REPRESENTATION BY COUNSEL

17. The defendant has been represented by counsel and is fully satisfied that its attorneys have provided competent legal representation. The defendant has thoroughly reviewed this Plea Agreement and acknowledges that counsel has advised it of the nature of the charge, any possible defenses to the charge, and the nature and range of possible sentences.

VOLUNTARY PLEA

18. The defendant's decision to enter into this Plea Agreement and to tender a plea of guilty is freely and voluntarily made and is not the result of force, threats, assurances, promises, or representations other than the representations contained in this Plea Agreement and Attachment A. The United States has made no promises or representations to the defendant as to whether the Court will accept or reject the recommendations contained within this Plea Agreement.

VIOLATION OF PLEA AGREEMENT

19. The defendant agrees that, should the United States determine in good faith, during the period that any Federal Proceeding is pending, that the defendant or Corning Incorporated have failed to provide full, truthful, and continuing cooperation, as defined in Paragraph 12 of this Plea Agreement, or have otherwise violated any provision of this Plea Agreement, the United States will notify counsel for the defendant in writing by personal or overnight delivery, email, or facsimile transmission and may also notify counsel by telephone of its intention to void any of its obligations under this Plea Agreement (except its obligations under this paragraph), and the defendant and Corning Incorporated will be subject to prosecution for any federal crime of which the United States has knowledge including, but not limited to, the substantive offenses relating to the investigation resulting in this Plea Agreement. The

defendant agrees that, in the event that the United States is released from its obligations under this Plea Agreement and brings criminal charges against the defendant or Corning Incorporated for any offense referred to in Paragraph 15 of this Plea Agreement, the statute of limitations period for such offense will be tolled for the period between the date of signature of this Plea Agreement and six (6) months after the date the United States gave notice of its intent to void its obligations under this Plea Agreement.

20. The defendant understands and agrees that in any further prosecution of it or Corning Incorporated resulting from the release of the United States from its obligations under this Plea Agreement because of the defendant's or Corning Incorporated's violation of this Plea Agreement, any documents, statements, information, testimony, or evidence provided by it, Corning Incorporated, or their current directors, officers, or employees to attorneys or agents of the United States, federal grand juries, or courts, and any leads derived therefrom, may be used against it or Corning Incorporated. In addition, the defendant unconditionally waives its right to challenge the use of such evidence in any such further prosecution, notwithstanding the protections of Fed. R. Evid. 410.

ENTIRETY OF AGREEMENT

21. This Plea Agreement and Attachment A constitute the entire agreement between the United States and the defendant concerning the disposition of the criminal charge in this case. This Plea Agreement cannot be modified except in writing, signed by the United States and the defendant.

22. The undersigned is authorized to enter this Plea Agreement on behalf of the defendant as evidenced by the Resolution of the Board of Directors of the defendant attached to, and incorporated by reference in, this Plea Agreement.

23. The undersigned attorneys for the United States have been authorized by the Attorney General of the United States to enter this Plea Agreement on behalf of the United States.

24. A facsimile or PDF signature will be deemed an original signature for the purpose of executing this Plea Agreement. Multiple signature pages are authorized for the purpose of executing this Plea Agreement.

DATED: May 10, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

BY: 
Yasuya Nakano
President
Corning International K.K.



BY: _____
Paul T. Gallagher
Jon B. Jacobs
Emma M. Burnham
Jay D. Owen
U.S. Department of Justice
Antitrust Division
450 5th St. NW, Suite 11300
Washington, DC 20530
(202) 532-4570
Paul.Gallagher2@atr.usdoj.gov

BY: _____
Steven C. Sunshine
Counsel for Corning International K.K.

23. The undersigned attorneys for the United States have been authorized by the Attorney General of the United States to enter this Plea Agreement on behalf of the United States.

24. A facsimile or PDF signature will be deemed an original signature for the purpose of executing this Plea Agreement. Multiple signature pages are authorized for the purpose of executing this Plea Agreement.

DATED: 5/10/2016

Respectfully submitted,

BY: _____
Yasuya Nakano
President
Corning International K.K.

BY: _____
Paul T. Gallagher
Jon B. Jacobs
Emma M. Burnham
Jay D. Owen
U.S. Department of Justice
Antitrust Division
450 5th St. NW, Suite 11300
Washington, DC 20530
(202) 532-4570
Paul.Gallagher2@atr.usdoj.gov

BY: 

Steven C. Sunshine
Counsel for Corning International K.K.

23. The undersigned attorneys for the United States have been authorized by the Attorney General of the United States to enter this Plea Agreement on behalf of the United States.

24. A facsimile or PDF signature will be deemed an original signature for the purpose of executing this Plea Agreement. Multiple signature pages are authorized for the purpose of executing this Plea Agreement.

DATED: 5/11/16

Respectfully submitted,

BY: _____
Yasuya Nakano
President
Corning International K.K.

BY: 

Paul T. Gallagher
Jon B. Jacobs
Emma M. Burnham
Jay D. Owen
U.S. Department of Justice
Antitrust Division
450 5th St. NW, Suite 11300
Washington, DC 20530
(202) 532-4570
Paul.Gallagher2@atr.usdoj.gov

BY: _____
Steven C. Sunshine
Counsel for Corning International K.K.